0160-0220 - Tertullianus - Ad Nationes

Ad Nationes

this file has been downloaded from http://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/anf03.html

Ad Nationes.458

Book I.

[Translated by]	Dr. Holmes.
------------------	-------------

Chapter I.⁴⁵⁹—The Hatred Felt by the Heathen Against the Christians is Unjust, Because Based on Culpable Ignorance.

ONE proof of that ignorance of yours, which condemns⁴⁶⁰ whilst it excuses⁴⁶¹ your injustice, is at once apparent in the fact, that all who once shared in your ignorance and hatred (of the Christian religion), as soon as they have come to know it, leave off their hatred when they cease to be ignorant; nay more, they actually themselves become what they had hated, and take to hating what they had once been. Day after day, indeed, you groan over the increasing number of the Christians. Your constant cry is, that the state is beset (by us); that Christians are in your fields, in your camps, in your islands. You grieve over it as a calamity, that each sex, every age—in short, every rank—is passing over from you to us; yet you do not even after this set your minds upon reflecting whether there be not here some latent good. You do not allow yourselves in suspicions which may prove too true,⁴⁶² nor do you like ventures which may be too near the mark.⁴⁶³ This is the only instance in which human curiosity grows torpid. You love to be ignorant of what other men rejoice to have discovered; you would rather not know it, because you now cherish your hatred as if you were aware that, (with the knowledge,) your hatred would certainly come to an end. Still,⁴⁶⁴ if there shall be no just ground for hatred, it will surely be found to be the best course to cease from the past

[[]As a *recapitulation* I insert this here to close this class of argument for the reasons following.] This treatise resembles *The Apology*, both in its general purport as a vindication of Christianity against heathen prejudice, and in many of its expressions and statements. So great is the resemblance that this shorter work has been thought by some to have been a first draft of the longer and perfect one. Tertullian, however, here addresses his expostulations to the general public, while in *The Apology* it is the rulers and magistrates of the empire whom he seeks to influence. [Dr. Allix conjectures the date of this treatise to be about A.D. 217. See Kaye, p. 50.]

⁴⁵⁹ Compare *The Apology*, c. i.

Revincit. "Condemnat" is Tertullian's word in *The Apology*, i.

Defendit. "Excusat" in Apol.

Non licet rectius suspicari.

Non lubet propius experiri.

⁴⁶⁴ At quin.

injustice. Should, however, a cause have really existed there will be no diminution of the hatred, which will indeed accumulate so much the more in the consciousness of its justice; unless it be, forsooth, 465 that you are ashamed to cast off your faults, 466 or sorry to free yourselves from blame. 467 I know very well with what answer you usually meet the argument from our rapid increase. 468 That indeed must not, you say, be hastily accounted a good thing which converts a great number of persons, and gains them over to its side. I am aware how the mind is apt to take to evil courses. How many there are which forsake virtuous living! How many seek refuge in the opposite! Many, no doubt;469 nay, very many, as the last days approach.470 But such a comparison as this fails in fairness of application; for all are agreed in thinking thus of the evil-doer, so that not even the guilty themselves, who take the wrong side, and turn away from the pursuit of good to perverse ways, are bold enough to defend evil as good.⁴⁷¹ Base things excite their fear, impious ones their shame. In short, they are eager for concealment, they shrink from publicity, they tremble when caught; when accused, they deny; even when tortured, they do not readily or invariably confess (their crime); at all events, 472 they grieve when they are condemned. They reproach themselves for their past life; their change from innocence to an evil disposition they even attribute to fate. They cannot say that it is not a wrong thing, therefore they will not admit it to be their own act. As for the Christians, however, in what does their case resemble this? No one is ashamed; no one is sorry, except for his former (sins).⁴⁷³ If he is pointed at (for his religion), he glories in it; if dragged to trial, he does not resist; if accused, he makes no defence. When questioned, he confesses; when condemned, he rejoices. What sort of evil is this, in which the nature of evil comes to a standstill?⁴⁷⁴



```
Nisi si.
```

Emendari pudet.

Excusari piget.

⁴⁶⁸ Redundantiæ nostræ.

Bona fide.

⁴⁷⁰ Pro extremitatibus temporum.

Or perhaps, "to maintain evil in preference to good."

⁴⁷² Certe.

Pristinorum. In the corresponding passage (*Apol.* i.) the phrase is, "nisi plane retro non fuisse," i.e., "except that he was not *a Christian* long ago."

⁴⁷⁴ Cessat.

Chapter II.⁴⁷⁵—The Heathen Perverted Judgment in the Trial of Christians. They Would Be More Consistent If They Dispensed with All Form of Trial. Tertullian Urges This with Much Indignation.

In this case you actually⁴⁷⁶ conduct trials contrary to the usual form of judicial process against criminals; for when culprits are brought up for trial, should they deny the charge, you press them for a confession by tortures. When Christians, however, confess without compulsion, you apply the torture to induce them to deny. What great perverseness is this, when you stand out against confession, and change the use of the torture, compelling the man who frankly acknowledges the charge⁴⁷⁷ to evade it, and him who is unwilling, to deny it? You, who preside for the purpose of extorting truth, demand falsehood from us alone that we may declare ourselves not to be what we are. I suppose you do not want us to be bad men, and therefore you earnestly wish to exclude us from that character. To be sure, 478 you put others on the rack and the gibbet, to get them to deny what they have the reputation of being. Now, when they deny (the charge against them), you do not believe them but on our denial, you instantly believe us. If you feel sure that we are the most injurious of men, why, even in processes against us, are we dealt with by you differently from other offenders? I do not mean that you make no account of either an accusation or a denial (for your practice is not hastily to condemn men without an indictment and a defence); but, to take an instance in the trial of a murderer, the case is not at once ended, or the inquiry satisfied, on a man's confessing himself the murderer. However complete his confession, 480 you do not readily believe him; but over and above this, you inquire into accessory circumstances—how often had he committed murder; with what weapons, in what place, with what plunder, accomplices, and abettors after the fact⁴⁸¹ (was the crime perpetrated)—to the end that nothing whatever respecting the criminal might escape detection, and that every means should be at hand for arriving at a true verdict. In our case, on the contrary, 482 whom you believe to be guilty of more atrocious and numerous crimes, you frame your indictments⁴⁸³ in briefer and lighter terms. I suppose you do not care to load with accusations men whom you earnestly wish to get rid of, or else you do not think it necessary to inquire into matters which are known to you already. It is, however, all the more perverse that you

```
475 Comp. c. ii. of The Apology.
```

⁴⁷⁶ Ipsi.

⁴⁷⁷ Gratis reum.

Sane.

Neque spatium commodetis.

⁴⁸⁰ Quanquam confessis.

Receptoribus, "concealers" of the crime.

⁴⁸² Porro.

⁴⁸³ Elogia.

compel us to deny charges about which you have the clearest evidence. But, indeed, 484 how much more consistent were it with your hatred of us to dispense with all forms of judicial process, and to strive with all your might not to urge us to say "No," and so have to acquit the objects of your hatred; but to confess all and singular the crimes laid to our charge, that your resentments might be the better glutted with an accumulation of our punishments, when it becomes known how many of those feasts each one of us may have celebrated, and how many incests we may have committed under cover of the night! What am I saying? Since your researches for rooting out our society must needs be made on a wide scale, you ought to extend your inquiry against our friends and companions. Let our infanticides and the dressers (of our horrible repasts) be brought out,—ay, and the very dogs which minister to our (incestuous) nuptials;⁴⁸⁵ then the business (of our trial) would be without a fault. Even to the crowds which throng the spectacles a zest would be given; for with how much greater eagerness would they resort to the theatre, when one had to fight in the lists who had devoured a hundred babies! For since such horrid and monstrous crimes are reported of us, they ought, of course, to be brought to light, lest they should seem to be incredible, and the public detestation of us should begin to cool. For most persons are slow to believe such things, 486 feeling a horrible disgust at supposing that our nature could have an appetite for the food of wild beasts, when it has precluded these from all concubinage with the race of man.



Chapter III. 487—The Great Offence in the Christians Lies in Their Very Name. The Name Vindicated.

Since, therefore, you who are in other cases most scrupulous and persevering in investigating charges of far less serious import, relinquish your care in cases like ours, which are so horrible, and of such surpassing sin that *impiety* is too mild a word for them, by declining to hear confession, which should always be an important process for those who conduct judicial proceedings; and failing to make a full inquiry, which should be gone into by such as sue for a condemnation, it

⁴⁸⁴ Immo.

We have for once departed from Oehler's text, and preferred Rigault's: "Perducerentur *infantarii* et coci, ipsi canes pronubi, emendata esset res." The sense is evident from *The Apology*, c. vii.: "It is said that we are guilty of most horrible crimes; that in the celebration of our sacrament we put a child to death, which we afterward devour, and at the end of our banquet revel in incest; that we employ dogs as ministers of our impure delights, to overthrow the candles, and thus to provide darkness, and remove all shame which might interfere with these impious lusts" (Chevalier's translation). These calumnies were very common, and are noticed by Justin Martyr, Minucius Felix, Eusebius, Athenagoras, and Origen, who attributes their origin to the Jews. Oehler reads *infantariæ*, after the Agobardine codex and *editio princeps*, and quotes Martial (*Epigr*. iv. 88), where the word occurs in the sense of an inordinate love of children.

Nam et plerique fidem talium temperant.

Comp. *The Apology*, cc. i. and ii.

becomes evident that the crime laid to our charge consists not of any sinful conduct, but lies wholly in our *name*. If, indeed, 488 any real crimes were clearly adducible against us, their very names would condemn us, if found applicable, 489 so that distinct sentences would be pronounced against us in this wise: Let that murderer, or that incestuous criminal, or whatever it be that we are charged with, be led to execution, be crucified, or be thrown to the beasts. Your sentences, however, 490 import only that one has confessed himself a Christian. No name of a crime stands against us, but only the crime of a name. Now this in very deed is neither more nor less than⁴⁹¹ the entire odium which is felt against us. The name is the cause: some mysterious force intensified by your ignorance assails it, so that you do not wish to know for certain that which for certain you are sure you know nothing of; and therefore, further, you do not believe things which are not submitted to proof, and, lest they should be easily refuted, 492 you refuse to make inquiry, so that the odious name is punished under the presumption of (real) crimes. In order, therefore, that the issue may be withdrawn from the offensive name, we are compelled to deny it; then upon our denial we are acquitted, with an entire absolution⁴⁹³ for the past: we are no longer murderers, no longer incestuous, because we have lost that name. 494 But since this point is dealt with in a place of its own, 495 do you tell us plainly why you are pursuing this name even to extirpation? What crime, what offence, what fault is there in a name? For you are barred by the rule⁴⁹⁶ which puts it out of your power to allege crimes (of any man), which no legal action moots, no indictment specifies, no sentence enumerates. In any case which is submitted to the judge, 497 inquired into against the defendant, responded to by him or denied, and cited from the bench, I acknowledge a legal charge. Concerning, then, the merit of a name, whatever offence names may be charged with, whatever impeachment words may be amenable to, I for my part⁴⁹⁸ think, that not even a complaint is due to a word or a name, unless indeed it has a barbarous sound, or smacks of ill-luck, or is immodest, or is indecorous for the speaker, or unpleasant to the hearer. These crimes in (mere) words and names are just like barbarous words and phrases, which have their fault, and their solecism, and their absurdity of figure. The name Christian, however, so far as its meaning goes, bears the sense of anointing. Even when by a faulty

```
Adeo si.
488
489
          Si accommodarent.
          Porro.
490
491
          Hæc ratio est.
          Reprobentur.
492
493
          Impunitate.
          i.e., the name "Christians."
494
495
          By the "suo loco," Tertullian refers to The Apology.
          Præscribitur vobis.
496
          Præsidi.
497
          Ego.
498
```

pronunciation you call us "Chrestians" (for you are not certain about even the sound of this noted name), you in fact lisp out the sense of pleasantness and goodness. You are therefore vilifying in harmless men even the harmless name we bear, which is not inconvenient for the tongue, nor harsh to the ear, nor injurious to a single being, nor rude for our country, being a good Greek word, as many others also are, and pleasant in sound and sense. Surely, surely, are not things which deserve punishment by the sword, or the cross, or the beasts.

Chapter IV.⁵⁰²—The Truth Hated in the Christians; So in Measure Was It, of Old, in Socrates. The Virtues of the Christians.

But the sect, you say, is punished in the name of its founder. Now in the first place it is, no doubt, a fair and usual custom that a sect should be marked out by the name of its founder, since philosophers are called Pythagoreans and Platonists after their masters; in the same way physicians are called after Erasistratus, and grammarians after Aristarchus. If, therefore, a sect has a bad character because its founder was bad, it is punished⁵⁰³ as the traditional bearer⁵⁰⁴ of a bad name. But this would be indulging in a rash assumption. The first step was to find out what the founder was, that his sect might be understood, instead of hindering⁵⁰⁵ inquiry into the founder's character from the sect. But in our case,⁵⁰⁶ by being necessarily ignorant of the sect, through your ignorance of its founder, or else by not taking a fair survey of the founder, because you make no inquiry into his sect, you fasten merely on the name, just as if you vilified in it both sect and founder, whom you know nothing of whatever. And yet you openly allow your philosophers the right of attaching themselves to any school, and bearing its founder's name as their own; and nobody stirs up any hatred against them, although both in public and in private they bark out⁵⁰⁷ their bitterest eloquence against your customs, rites, ceremonies, and manner of life, with so much contempt for the laws, and so little respect for persons, that they even flaunt their licentious words⁵⁰⁸ against the emperors



⁴⁹⁹ Χρηστός means both "pleasant" and "good;" and the heathen founded this word with the sacred name Χριστός.

⁵⁰⁰ Detinetis.

⁵⁰¹ Et utique.

See The Apology, c. iii.

⁵⁰³ Plectitur.

⁵⁰⁴ Tradux.

Retinere.

⁵⁰⁶ At nunc.

⁵⁰⁷ Elatrent.

Libertatem suam, "their liberty of speech."

themselves with impunity. And yet it is the truth, which is so troublesome to the world, that these philosophers affect, but which Christians possess: they therefore who have it in possession afford the greater displeasure, because he who affects a thing plays with it; he who possesses it maintains it. For example, ⁵⁰⁹ Socrates was condemned on that side (of his wisdom) in which he came nearest in his search to the truth, by destroying your gods. Although the name of Christian was not at that time in the world, yet truth was always suffering condemnation. Now you will not deny that he was a wise man, to whom your own Pythian (god) had borne witness. Socrates, he said, was the wisest of men. Truth overbore Apollo, and made him pronounce even against himself since he acknowledged that he was no god, when he affirmed that that was the wisest man who was denying the gods. However, 510 on your principle he was the less wise because he denied the gods, although, in truth, he was all the wiser by reason of this denial. It is just in the same way that you are in the habit of saying of us: "Lucius Titius is a good man, only he is a Christian;" while another says; "I wonder that so worthy⁵¹¹ a man as Caius Seius has become a Christian."⁵¹² According to⁵¹³ the blindness of their folly men praise what they know, (and) blame what they are ignorant of; and that which they know, they vitiate by that which they do not know. It occurs to none (to consider) whether a man is not good and wise because he is a Christian, or therefore a Christian because he is wise and good, although it is more usual in human conduct to determine obscurities by what is manifest, than to prejudice what is manifest by what is obscure. Some persons wonder that those whom they had known to be unsteady, worthless, or wicked before they bore this⁵¹⁴ name, have been suddenly converted to virtuous courses; and yet they better know how to wonder (at the change) than to attain to it; others are so obstinate in their strife as to do battle with their own best interests, which they have it in their power to secure by intercourse⁵¹⁵ with that hated name. I know more than one⁵¹⁶ husband, formerly anxious about their wives' conduct, and unable to bear even mice to creep into their bed-room without a groan of suspicion, who have, upon discovering the cause of their new assiduity, and their unwonted attention to the duties of home, 517 offered the entire loan of their wives to others, 518 disclaimed all jealousy, (and) preferred to be the husbands of she-wolves than of Christian women: they could commit themselves to a perverse abuse of nature,

```
Denique.
509
          Porro.
510
          Gravem, "earnest."
511
512
          Comp. The Apology, c. iii.
513
          Pro.
          i.e., the Christian.
514
515
          De commercio.
          Unum atque alium. The sense being plural, we have so given it all through.
516
          Captivitatis (as if theirs was a self-inflicted captivity at home).
517
          Omnem uxorem patientiam obtulisse (comp. Apology, middle of c. xxxix.).
518
```

but they could not permit their wives to be reformed for the better! A father disinherited his son, with whom he had ceased to find fault. A master sent his slave to bridewell,⁵¹⁹ whom he had even found to be indispensable to him. As soon as they discovered them to be Christians, they wished they were criminals again; for our discipline carries its own evidence in itself, nor are we betrayed by anything else than our own goodness, just as bad men also become conspicuous⁵²⁰ by their own evil. Else how is it that we alone are, contrary to the lessons of nature, branded as very evil because of our good? For what mark do we exhibit except the prime wisdom,⁵²¹ which teaches us not to worship the frivolous works of the human hand; the temperance, by which we abstain from other men's goods; the chastity, which we pollute not even with a look; the compassion, which prompts us to help the needy; the truth itself, which makes us give offence; and liberty, for which we have even learned to die? Whoever wishes to understand who the Christians are, must needs employ these marks for their discovery.



Chapter V.⁵²²—The Inconsistent Life of Any False Christian No More Condemns True Disciples of Christ, Than a Passing Cloud Obscures a Summer Sky.

As to your saying of us that we are a most shameful set, and utterly steeped in luxury, avarice, and depravity, we will not deny that this is true of some. It is, however, a sufficient testimonial for our name, that this cannot be said of all, not even of the greater part of us. It must happen even in the healthiest and purest body, that a mole should grow, or a wart arise on it, or freckles disfigure it. Not even the sky itself is clear with so perfect⁵²³ a serenity as not to be flecked with some filmy cloud.⁵²⁴ A slight spot on the face, because it is obvious in so conspicuous a part, only serves to show purity of the entire complexion. The goodness of the larger portion is well attested by the slender flaw. But although you prove that some of our people are evil, you do not hereby prove that they are Christians. Search and see whether there is any sect to which (a partial shortcoming) is imputed as a general stain.⁵²⁵ You are accustomed in conversation yourselves to say, in disparagement of us, "Why is so-and-so deceitful, when the Christians are so self-denying? why merciless, when they are so merciful?" You thus bear your testimony to the fact that this is not the

```
In ergastulum.
```

⁵²⁰ Radiant.

He means the religion of Christ, which he in b. ii. c. ii. contrasts with "the mere wisdom" of the philosophers.

⁵²² Compare *The Apology*, cc. ii. xliv. xlvi.

⁵²³ Colata, "filtered" [or "strained"—Shaks.]

Ut non alicujus nubiculæ flocculo resignetur. This picturesque language defies translation.

⁵²⁵ Malitiæ.

character of Christians, when you ask, in the way of a retort, 526 how men who are reputed to be Christians can be of such and such a disposition. There is a good deal of difference between an imputation and a name,⁵²⁷ between an opinion and the truth. For names were appointed for the express purpose of setting their proper limits between mere designation and actual condition. 528 How many indeed are said to be philosophers, who for all that do not fulfil the law of philosophy? All bear the name in respect of their profession; but they hold the designation without the excellence of the profession, and they disgrace the real thing under the shallow pretence of its name. Men are not straightway of such and such a character, because they are said to be so; but when they are not, it is vain to say so of them: they only deceive people who attach reality to a name, when it is its consistency with fact which decides the condition implied in the name. 529 And yet persons of this doubtful stamp do not assemble with us, neither do they belong to our communion: by their delinquency they become yours once more⁵³⁰ since we should be unwilling to mix even with them whom your violence and cruelty compelled to recant. Yet we should, of course, be more ready to have included amongst us those who have unwillingly forsaken our discipline than wilful apostates. However, you have no right to call them Christians, to whom the Christians themselves deny that name, and who have not learned to deny themselves.

Chapter VI.⁵³¹—The Innocence of the Christians Not Compromised by the Iniquitous Laws Which Were Made Against Them.

Whenever these statements and answers of ours, which truth suggests of its own accord, press and restrain your conscience, which is the witness of its own ignorance, you betake yourselves in hot haste to that poor altar of refuge,⁵³² the authority of the laws, because these, of course, would never punish the offensive⁵³³ sect, if their deserts had not been fully considered by those who made the laws. Then what is it which has prevented a like consideration on the part of those who put the laws in force, when, in the case of all other crimes which are similarly forbidden and punished by

```
526 Dum retorquetis.
```

Inter crimen et nomen.

⁵²⁸ Inter dici et esse.

⁵²⁹ Status nominis.

⁵³⁰ Denuo.

Compare *The Apology*, c. iv.

Ad arulam quandam.

⁵³³ Istam.

the laws, the penalty is not inflicted⁵³⁴ until it is sought by regular process?⁵³⁵ Take,⁵³⁶ for instance, the case of a murderer or an adulterer. An examination is ordered touching the particulars⁵³⁷ of the crime, even though it is patent to all what its nature⁵³⁸ is. Whatever wrong has been done by the Christian ought to be brought to light. No law forbids inquiry to be made; on the contrary, inquiry is made in the interest of the laws.⁵³⁹ For how are you to keep the law by precautions against that which the law forbids, if you neutralize the carefulness of the precaution by your failing to perceive⁵⁴⁰ what it is you have to keep? No law must keep to itself⁵⁴¹ the knowledge of its own righteousness,⁵⁴² but (it owes it) to those from whom it claims obedience. The law, however, becomes an object of suspicion when it declines to approve itself. Naturally enough,⁵⁴³ then, are the laws against the Christians supposed to be just and deserving of respect and observance, just as long as men remain ignorant of their aim and purport; but when this is perceived, their extreme injustice is discovered, and they are deservedly rejected with abhorrence,⁵⁴⁴ along with (their instruments of torture)—the swords, the crosses, and the lions. An unjust law secures no respect. In my opinion, however, there is a suspicion among you that some of these laws are unjust, since not a day passes without your modifying their severity and iniquity by fresh deliberations and decisions.



Chapter VII.⁵⁴⁵—The Christians Defamed. A Sarcastic Description of Fame; Its Deception and Atrocious Slanders of the Christians Lengthily Described.

Whence comes it to pass, you will say to us, that such a character could have been attributed to you, as to have justified the lawmakers perhaps by its imputation? Let me ask on my side, what voucher they had then, or you now, for the truth of the imputation? (You answer,) Fame. Well, now, is not this—

```
Cessat, "loiters."
534
          Requiratur.
535
          Lege.
536
          Ordo.
537
538
          Genus.
          Literally, "holding the inquiry makes for the laws."
539
540
          Per defectionem agnoscendi.
          Sibi debet.
541
542
          Justitiæ suæ.
          Merito.
543
          Despuuntur.
544
          Comp. The Apology, cc. vii, viii.
545
```

"Fama malum, quo non aliud velocius ullum?"546

Now, why a plague, 547 if it be always true? It never ceases from lying; nor even at the moment when it reports the truth is it so free from the wish to lie, as not to interweave the false with the true, by processes of addition, diminution, or confusion of various facts. Indeed,⁵⁴⁸ such is its condition, that it can only continue to exist while it lies. For it lives only just so long as it fails to prove anything. As soon as it proves itself true, it falls; and, as if its office of reporting news were at an end, it quits its post: thenceforward the thing is held to be a fact, and it passes under that name. No one, then, says, to take an instance, "The report is that this happened at Rome," or, "The rumour goes that he has got a province;" but, "He has got a province," and, "This happened at Rome." Nobody mentions a rumour except at an uncertainty, because nobody can be sure of a rumour, but only of certain knowledge; and none but a fool believes a rumour, because no wise man puts faith in an uncertainty. In however wide a circuit⁵⁴⁹ a report has been circulated, it must needs have originated some time or other from one mouth; afterwards it creeps on somehow to ears and tongues which pass it on⁵⁵⁰ and so obscures the humble error in which it began, that no one considers whether the mouth which first set it a-going disseminated a falsehood,—a circumstance which often happens either from a temper of rivalry, or a suspicious turn, or even the pleasure of feigning news. It is, however, well that time reveals all things, as your own sayings and proverbs testify; yea, as nature herself attests, which has so ordered it that nothing lies hid, not even that which fame has not reported. See, now, what a witness⁵⁵¹ you have suborned against us: it has not been able up to this time to prove the report it set in motion, although it has had so long a time to recommend it to our acceptance. This name of ours took its rise in the reign of Augustus; under Tiberius it was taught with all clearness and publicity;552 under Nero it was ruthlessly condemned,553 and you may weigh its worth and character even from the person of its persecutor. If that prince was a pious man, then the Christians are impious; if he was just, if he was pure, then the Christians are unjust and impure; if he was not a public enemy, we are enemies of our country: what sort of men we are, our persecutor himself shows, since he of course punished what produced hostility to himself.⁵⁵⁴ Now, although

```
Æneid. iv. 174.
546
       "Fame, than which never plague that runs
        Its way more swiftly wins."—Conington.
          "A plague" = malum.
547
          Quid? quod "Yea more."
548
549
          Ambitione.
550
551
          Prodigiam. The word is "indicem" in The Apology.
          Disciplina ejus illuxit.
552
          Damnatio invaluit.
553
          Æmula sibi.
554
```

every other institution which existed under Nero has been destroyed, yet this of ours has firmly remained—righteous, it would seem, as being unlike the author (of its persecution). Two hundred and fifty years, then, have not yet passed since our life began. During the interval there have been so many criminals; so many crosses have obtained immortality;⁵⁵⁵ so many infants have been slain; so many loaves steeped in blood; so many extinctions of candles;⁵⁵⁶ so many dissolute marriages. And up to the present time it is mere report which fights against the Christians. No doubt it has a strong support in the wickedness of the human mind, and utters its falsehoods with more success among cruel and savage men. For the more inclined you are to maliciousness, the more ready are you to believe evil; in short, men more easily believe the evil that is false, than the good which is true. Now, if injustice has left any place within you for the exercise of prudence in investigating the truth of reports, justice of course demanded that you should examine by whom the report could have been spread among the multitude, and thus circulated through the world. For it could not have been by the Christians themselves, I suppose, since by the very constitution and law of all mysteries the obligation of silence is imposed. How much more would this be the case in such (mysteries as are ascribed to us), which, if divulged, could not fail to bring down instant punishment from the prompt resentment of men! Since, therefore, the Christians are not their own betrayers, it follows that it must be strangers. Now I ask, how could strangers obtain knowledge of us, when even true and lawful mysteries exclude every stranger from witnessing them, unless illicit ones are less exclusive? Well, then, it is more in keeping with the character of strangers both to be ignorant (of the true state of a case), and to invent (a false account). Our domestic servants (perhaps) listened, and peeped through crevices and holes, and stealthily got information of our ways. What, then, shall we say when our servants betray them to you?557 It is better, (to be sure,)558 for us all not to be betrayed by any; but still, if our practices be so atrocious, how much more proper is it when a righteous indignation bursts asunder even all ties of domestic fidelity? How was it possible for it to endure what horrified the mind and affrighted the eye? This is also a wonderful thing, both that he who was so overcome with impatient excitement as to turn informer, 559 did not likewise desire to prove (what he reported), and that he who heard the informer's story did not care to see for himself, since no doubt the reward⁵⁶⁰ is equal both for the informer who proves what he reports, and for the hearer who convinces himself of the credibility⁵⁶¹ of what he hears. But then you say that (this is precisely what has taken place): first came the rumour, then the exhibition of the proof;

Divinitatem consecutæ.

555

See above, c. ii. note.

i.e., What is the value of *such* evidence?

We have inserted this phrase as the sentence is strongly ironical.

Deferre, an *infinitive* of purpose, of which construction of our author Oehler gives examples.

Fructus.

Si etiam sibi credat.

first the hearsay, then the inspection; and after this, fame received its commission. Now this, I must say,562 surpasses all admiration, that that was once for all detected and divulged which is being for ever repeated, unless, forsooth, we have by this time ceased from the reiteration of such things⁵⁶³ (as are alleged of us). But we are called still by the same (offensive) name, and we are supposed to be still engaged in the same practices, and we multiply from day to day; the more⁵⁶⁴ we are, to the more become we objects of hatred. Hatred increases as the material for it increases. Now, seeing that the multitude of offenders is ever advancing, how is it that the crowd of informers does not keep equal pace therewith? To the best of my belief, even our manner of life⁵⁶⁵ has become better known; you know the very days of our assemblies; therefore we are both besieged, and attacked, and kept prisoners actually in our secret congregations. Yet who ever came upon a half-consumed corpse (amongst us)? Who has detected the traces of a bite in our blood-steeped loaf? Who has discovered, by a sudden light invading our darkness, any marks of impurity, I will not say of incest, (in our feasts)? If we save ourselves by a bribe⁵⁶⁶ from being dragged out before the public gaze with such a character, how is it that we are still oppressed? We have it indeed in our own power not to be thus apprehended at all; for who either sells or buys information about a crime, if the crime itself has no existence? But why need I disparagingly refer to⁵⁶⁷ strange spies and informers, when you allege against us such charges as we certainly do not ourselves divulge with very much noise—either as soon as you hear of them, if we previously show them to you, or after you have yourselves discovered them, if they are for the time concealed from you? For no doubt, 568 when any desire initiation in the mysteries, their custom is first to go to the master or father of the sacred rites. Then he will say (to the applicant), You must bring an infant, as a guarantee for our rites, to be sacrificed, as well as some bread to be broken and dipped in his blood; you also want candles, and dogs tied together to upset them, and bits of meat to rouse the dogs. Moreover, a mother too, or a sister, is necessary for you. What, however, is to be said if you have neither? I suppose in that case you could not be a genuine Christian. Now, do let me ask you, Will such things, when reported by strangers, bear to be spread about (as charges against us)? It is impossible for such persons to understand proceedings in which they take no part. 569 The first step of the process is perpetrated

```
562
           Quidem.
563
```

Talia factitare.

⁵⁶⁴ We read "quo," and not "quod," because.

⁵⁶⁵

This refers to a calumny which the heathen frequently spread about the Christians. 566

Detrectem or simply "treat of," "refer to," like the simple verb "tractare." 567

The irony of all this passage is evident. 568

Diversum opus. 569



with artifice; our feasts and our marriages are invented and detailed⁵⁷⁰ by ignorant persons, who had never before heard about Christian mysteries. And though they afterwards cannot help acquiring some knowledge of them, it is even then as having to be administered by others whom they bring on the scene.⁵⁷¹ Besides, how absurd is it that the profane know mysteries which the priest knows not! They keep them all to themselves, then, ⁵⁷² and take them for granted; and so these tragedies, (worse than those) of Thyestes or Œdipus, do not at all come forth to light, nor find their way⁵⁷³ to the public. Even more voracious bites take nothing away from the credit⁵⁷⁴ of such as are initiated, whether servants or masters. If, however, none of these allegations can be proved to be true, how incalculable must be esteemed the grandeur (of that religion) which is manifestly not overbalanced even by the burden of these vast atrocities! O ye heathen; who have and deserve our pity, 575 behold, we set before you the promise which our sacred system offers. It guarantees eternal life to such as follow and observe it; on the other hand, it threatens with the eternal punishment of an unending fire those who are profane and hostile; while to both classes alike is preached a resurrection from the dead. We are not now concerned⁵⁷⁶ about the doctrine of these (verities), which are discussed in their proper place.577 Meanwhile, however, believe them, even as we do ourselves, for I want to know whether you are ready to reach them, as we do, through such crimes. Come, whosoever you are, plunge your sword into an infant; or if that is another's office, then simply gaze at the breathing creature⁵⁷⁸ dying before it has lived; at any rate, catch its fresh⁵⁷⁹ blood in which to steep your bread; then feed yourself without stint; and whilst this is going on, recline. Carefully distinguish the places where your mother or your sister may have made their bed; mark them well, in order that, when the shades of night have fallen upon them, putting of course to the test the care of every one of you, you may not make the awkward mistake of alighting on somebody else; 580 you would have to make

- Subjiciuntur "are stealthily narrated."
- 571 Inducunt.
- It is difficult to see what this "tacent igitur" means without referring to the similar passage in *The Apology* (end of c. viii.), which supplies a link wanted in the context. "At all events," says he, "they know this afterward, and yet submit to it, and allow it. *They fear to be punished*, while, if they proclaimed the truth, they would deserve universal approbation." Tertullian here states what the enemies of the Christians used to allege against them. After discovering the alleged atrocities of their secret assemblies, they kept their knowledge forsooth to themselves, being afraid of the consequences of a disclosure, etc.
- We have for convenience treated "protrahunt" (q.d.) "nor do they report them") as a neuter verb.
- Even worse than Thyestean atrocities would be believed of them.
- 575 Miseræ atque miserandæ.
- Viderimus.
- See below, in c. xix.
- 578 Animam.
- 579 Rudem, "hardly formed."
- 580 Extraneam.

an atonement, if you failed of the incest. When you have effected all this, eternal life will be in store for you. I want you to tell me whether you think eternal life worth such a price. No, indeed, 581 you do not believe it: even if you did believe it, I maintain that you would be unwilling to give (the fee); or if willing, would be unable. But why should others be able if you are unable? Why should you be able if others are unable? What would you wish impunity (and) eternity to stand you in? Do you suppose that these (blessings) can be bought by us at any price? Have Christians teeth of a different sort from others? Have they more ample jaws? Are they of different nerve for incestuous lust? I trow not. It is enough for us to differ from you in condition 584 by truth alone.

Chapter VIII.⁵⁸⁵—The Calumny Against the Christians Illustrated in the Discovery of Psammetichus. Refutation of the Story.

We are indeed said to be the "third race" of men. What, a dog-faced race?⁵⁸⁶ Or broadly shadow-footed?⁵⁸⁷ Or some subterranean⁵⁸⁸ Antipodes? If you attach any meaning to these names, pray tell us what are the first and the second race, that so we may know something of this "third." Psammetichus thought that he had hit upon the ingenious discovery of the primeval man. He is said to have removed certain new-born infants from all human intercourse, and to have entrusted them to a nurse, whom he had previously deprived of her tongue, in order that, being completely exiled from all sound of the human voice, they might form their speech without hearing it; and thus, deriving it from themselves alone, might indicate what that first nation was whose speech was dictated by nature. Their first utterance was Bekkos, a word which means "bread" in the language of Phrygia: the Phrygians, therefore, are supposed to be the first of the human race.⁵⁸⁹ But it will not be out of place if we make one observation, with a view to show how your faith abandons itself

⁵⁸¹ Immo idcirco.

⁵⁸² Quanto constare.

[&]quot;An alii ordines dentium Christianorum, et alii specus faucium?" (literally, "Have Christians other sets of teeth, and other caverns of jaws?") This seems to refer to voracious animals like the shark, whose terrible teeth, lying in several rows, and greediness to swallow anything, however incongruous, that comes in its way, are well-known facts in natural history.

Positione.

Compare *The Apology*, c. viii.

Cynopæ. This class would furnish the unnatural "teeth," and "jaws," just referred to.

Sciapodes with broad feet *producing a large shade*; suited for the "incestuous lust" above mentioned.

Literally, "which come up from under ground."

Tertullian got this story from Herodotus, ii. 2.



more to vanities than to verities. Can it be, then, at all credible that the nurse retained her life, after the loss of so important a member, the very organ of the breath of life, 500—cut out, too, from the very root, with her throat⁵⁹¹ mutilated, which cannot be wounded even on the outside without danger, and the putrid gore flowing back to the chest, and deprived for so long a time of her food? Come, even suppose that by the remedies of a Philomela she retained her life, in the way supposed by wisest persons, who account for the dumbness not by cutting out the tongue, but from the blush of shame; if on such a supposition she lived, she would still be able to blurt out some dull sound. And a shrill inarticulate noise from opening the mouth only, without any modulation of the lips, might be forced from the mere throat, though there were no tongue to help. This, it is probable, the infants readily imitated, and the more so because it was the only sound; only they did it a little more neatly, as they had tongues;⁵⁹² and then they attached to it a definite signification. Granted, then, that the Phrygians were the earliest race, it does not follow that the Christians are the third. For how many other nations come regularly after the Phrygians? Take care, however, lest those whom you call the third race should obtain the first rank, since there is no nation indeed which is not Christian. Whatever nation, therefore, was the first, is nevertheless Christian now.⁵⁹³ It is ridiculous folly which makes you say we are the latest race, and then specifically call us the third. But it is in respect of our religion, ⁵⁹⁴ not of our nation, that we are supposed to be the third; the series being the Romans, the Jews, and the Christians after them. Where, then, are the Greeks? or if they are reckoned amongst the Romans in regard to their superstition (since it was from Greece that Rome borrowed even her gods), where at least are the Egyptians, since these have, so far as I know, a mysterious religion peculiar to themselves? Now, if they who belong to the third race are so monstrous, what must they be supposed to be who preceded them in the first and the second place?

Chapter IX. 595—The Christians are Not the Cause of Public Calamities: There Were Such Troubles Before Christianity.

But why should I be astonished at your vain imputations? Under the same natural form, malice and folly have always been associated in one body and growth, and have ever opposed us under the one instigator of error.⁵⁹⁶ Indeed, I feel no astonishment; and therefore, as it is necessary for my

```
590 Ipsius animæ organo.
```

Faucibus.

⁵⁹² Utpote linguatuli.

This is one of the passages which incidentally show how widely spread was Christianity.

⁵⁹⁴ De Superstitione.

Comp. *The Apology*, cc. xl. xli. [And Augustine, *Civ. Dei*. iii.]

By the "manceps erroris" he means the devil.

subject, I will enumerate some instances, that you may feel the astonishment by the enumeration of the folly into which you fall, when you insist on our being the causes of every public calamity or injury. If the Tiber has overflowed its banks, if the Nile has remained in its bed, if the sky has been still, or the earth been in commotion, if death⁵⁹⁷ has made its devastations, or famine its afflictions, your cry immediately is, "This is the fault⁵⁹⁸ of the Christians!" As if they who fear the true God could have to fear a light thing, or at least anything else (than an earthquake or famine, or such visitations).⁵⁹⁹ I suppose it is as despisers of your gods that we call down on us these strokes of theirs. As we have remarked already, 600 three hundred years have not yet passed in our existence; but what vast scourges before that time fell on all the world, on its various cities and provinces! what terrible wars, both foreign and domestic! what pestilences, famines, conflagrations, yawnings, and quakings of the earth has history recorded!⁶⁰¹ Where were the Christians, then, when the Roman state furnished so many chronicles of its disasters? Where were the Christians when the islands Hiera, Anaphe, and Delos, and Rhodes, and Cea were desolated with multitudes of men? or, again, when the land mentioned by Plato as larger than Asia or Africa was sunk in the Atlantic Sea? or when fire from heaven overwhelmed Volsinii, and flames from their own mountain consumed Pompeii? when the sea of Corinth was engulphed by an earthquake? when the whole world was destroyed by the deluge? Where then were (I will not say the Christians, who despise your gods, but) your gods themselves, who are proved to be of later origin than that great ruin by the very places and cities in which they were born, sojourned, and were buried, and even those which they founded? For else they would not have remained to the present day, unless they had been more recent than that catastrophe. If you do not care to peruse and reflect upon these testimonies of history, the record of which affects you differently from us,⁶⁰² in order especially that you may not have to tax your gods with extreme injustice, since they injure even their worshippers on account of their despisers, do you not then prove yourselves to be also in the wrong, when you hold them to be gods, who make no distinction between the deserts of yourselves and profane persons? If, however, as it is now and then very vainly said, you incur the chastisement of your gods because you are too slack in our extirpation, you then have settled the question⁶⁰³ of their weakness and insignificance; for they would not be angry with you for loitering over our punishment, if they could do anything themselves,—although you admit the same thing indeed in another way, whenever



⁵⁹⁷ Libitina.

Christianorum meritum, which with "sit" may also, "Let the Christians have their due." In *The Apology* the cry is, "Christianos ad leonem."

⁵⁹⁹ We insert this after Oehler. Tertullian's words are, "Quasi modicum habeant aut aliud metuere qui Deum verum."

See above, c. vii.

Sæculum digessit.

Aliter vobis renuntiata.

Absolutum est.

by inflicting punishment on us you seem to be avenging them. If one interest is maintained by another party, that which defends is the greater of the two. What a shame, then, must it be for gods to be defended by a human being!

Chapter X.⁶⁰⁴—The Christians are Not the Only Contemners of the Gods. Contempt of Them Often Displayed by Heathen Official Persons. Homer Made the Gods Contemptible.

Pour out now all your venom; fling against this name of ours all your shafts of calumny: I shall stay no longer to refute them; but they shall by and by be blunted, when we come to explain our entire discipline. 605 I shall content myself now indeed with plucking these shafts out of our own body, and hurling them back on yourselves. The same wounds which you have inflicted on us by your charges I shall show to be imprinted on yourselves, that you may fall by your own swords and javelins. 606 Now, first, when you direct against us the general charge of divorcing ourselves from the institutions of our forefathers, consider again and again whether you are not yourselves open to that accusation in common with us. For when I look through your life and customs, lo, what do I discover but the old order of things corrupted, nay, destroyed by you? Of the laws I have already said, that you are daily supplanting them with novel decrees and statutes. As to everything else in your manner of life, how great are the changes you have made from your ancestors—in your style, your dress, your equipage, your very food, and even in your speech; for the old-fashioned you banish, as if it were offensive to you! Everywhere, in your public pursuits and private duties, antiquity is repealed; all the authority of your forefathers your own authority has superseded. To be sure, 607 you are for ever praising old customs; but this is only to your greater discredit, for you nevertheless persistently reject them. How great must your perverseness have been, to have bestowed approbation on your ancestors' institutions, which were too inefficient to be lasting, all the while that you were rejecting the very objects of your approbation! But even that very heir-loom⁶⁰⁸ of your forefathers, which you seem to guard and defend with greatest fidelity, in which you actually 609 find your strongest grounds for impeaching us as violators of the law, and from which your hatred of the Christian name derives all its life—I mean the worship of the gods—I shall prove to be undergoing ruin and contempt from yourselves no less than⁶¹⁰ (from us),—unless it be that there is

```
604 Comp. The Apology, cc. xii. xiii. xiv. xv.
```

See *The Apology (passim)*, especially cc. xvi.–xxiv., xxx.–xxxvi., and xxxix.

⁶⁰⁶ Admentationibus.

Plane.

⁶⁰⁸ Traditum.

⁶⁰⁹ Vel.

Perinde a vobis.

no reason for our being regarded as despisers of the gods like yourselves, on the ground that nobody despises what he knows has absolutely no existence. What certainly exists can be despised. That which is nothing, suffers nothing. From those, therefore, to whom it is an existing thing, 611 must necessarily proceed the suffering which affects it. All the heavier, then, is the accusation which burdens you who believe that there are gods and (at the same time) despise them, who worship and also reject them, who honour and also assail them. One may also gather the same conclusion from this consideration, above all: since you worship various gods, some one and some another, you of course despise those which you do not worship. A preference for the one is not possible without slighting the other, and no choice can be made without a rejection. He who selects some one out of many, has already slighted the other which he does not select. But it is impossible that so many and so great gods can be worshipped by all. Then you must have exercised your contempt (in this matter) even at the beginning, since indeed you were not then afraid of so ordering things, that all the gods could not become objects of worship to all. For those very wise and prudent ancestors of yours, whose institutions you know not how to repeal, especially in respect of your gods, are themselves found to have been impious. I am much mistaken, if they did not sometimes decree that no general should dedicate a temple, which he may have vowed in battle, before the senate gave its sanction; as in the case of Marcus Æmilius, who had made a vow to the god Alburnus. Now is it not confessedly the greatest impiety, nay, the greatest insult, to place the honour of the Deity at the will and pleasure of human judgment, so that there cannot be a god except the senate permit him? Many times have the censors destroyed⁶¹² (a god) without consulting the people. Father Bacchus, with all his ritual, was certainly by the consuls, on the senate's authority, cast not only out of the city, but out of all Italy; whilst Varro informs us that Serapis also, and Isis, and Arpocrates, and Anubis, were excluded from the Capitol, and that their altars which the senate had thrown down were only restored by the popular violence. The Consul Gabinius, however, on the first day of the ensuing January, although he gave a tardy consent to some sacrifices, in deference to the crowd which assembled, because he had failed to decide about Serapis and Isis, yet held the judgment of the senate to be more potent than the clamour of the multitude, and forbade the altars to be built. Here, then, you have amongst your own forefathers, if not the name, at all events the procedure, 613 of the Christians, which despises the gods. If, however, you were even innocent of the charge of treason against them in the honour you pay them, I still find that you have made a consistent advance in superstition as well as impiety. For how much more irreligious are you found to be! There are your household gods, the Lares and the Penates, which you possess⁶¹⁴ by a family consecration:⁶¹⁵

Quibus est.

Adsolaverunt, "thrown to the ground;" "floored."

Sectam. [Rather—"A Christian secession."]

Perhibetis.

Domestica consecratione, i.e., "for family worship."

you even tread them profanely under foot, you and your domestics, by hawking and pawning them for your wants or your whims. Such insolent sacrilege might be excusable, if it were not practised against your humbler deities; as it is, the case is only the more insolent. There is, however, some consolation for your private household gods under these affronts, that you treat your public deities with still greater indignity and insolence. First of all, you advertise them for auction, submit them to public sale, knock them down to the highest bidder, when you every five years bring them to the hammer among your revenues. For this purpose you frequent the temple of Serapis or the Capitol, hold your sales there, 616 conclude your contracts, 617 as if they were markets, with the well-known 618 voice of the crier, (and) the self-same levy619 of the quæstor. Now lands become cheaper when burdened with tribute, and men by the capitation tax diminish in value (these are the well-known marks of slavery). But the gods, the more tribute they pay, become more holy; or rather, 620 the more holy they are, the more tribute do they pay. Their majesty is converted into an article of traffic; men drive a business with their religion; the sanctity of the gods is beggared with sales and contracts. You make merchandise of the ground of your temples, of the approach to your altars, of your offerings, 621 of your sacrifices. 622 You sell the whole divinity (of your gods). You will not permit their gratuitous worship. The auctioneers necessitate more repairs⁶²³ than the priests.

It was not enough that you had insolently made a profit of your gods, if we would test the amount of your contempt; and you are not content to have withheld honour from them, you must also depreciate the little you do render to them by some indignity or other. What, indeed, do you do by way of honouring your gods, which you do not equally offer to your dead? You build temples for the gods, you erect temples also to the dead; you build altars for the gods, you build them also for the dead; you inscribe the same superscription over both; you sketch out the same lineaments for their statues—as best suits their genius, or profession, or age; you make an old man of Saturn, a beardless youth of Apollo; you form a virgin from Diana; in Mars you consecrate a soldier, a blacksmith in Vulcan. No wonder, therefore, if you slay the same victims and burn the same odours for your dead as you do for your gods. What excuse can be found for that insolence which classes the dead of whatever sort⁶²⁴ as equal with the gods? Even to your princes there are assigned the

```
Addicitur.
616
           Conducitur.
617
           Eadem.
618
619
          Exactione, "as excise duty for the treasury."
620
621
           "In money," stipibus.
           "Victims."
622
          Plus refigitur.
623
           Utut mortuos.
624
```



services of priests and sacred ceremonies, and chariots, 625 and cars, and the honours of the solisternia and the *lectisternia*, holidays and games. Rightly enough, 626 since heaven is open to them; still it is none the less contumelious to the gods: in the first place, because it could not possibly be decent that other beings should be numbered with them, even if it has been given to them to become divine after their birth; in the second place, because the witness who beheld the man caught up into heaven⁶²⁷ would not forswear himself so freely and palpably before the people, if it were not for the contempt felt about the objects sworn to both by himself and those⁶²⁸ who allow the perjury. For these feel of themselves, that what is sworn to is nothing; and more than that, they go so far as to fee the witness, because he had the courage to publicly despise the avengers of perjury. Now, as to that, who among you is pure of the charge of perjury? By this time, indeed, there is an end to all danger in swearing by the gods, since the oath by Cæsar carries with it more influential scruples, which very circumstance indeed tends to the degradation of your gods; for those who perjure themselves when swearing by Cæsar are more readily punished than those who violate an oath to a Jupiter. But, of the two kindred feelings of contempt and derision, contempt is the more honourable, having a certain glory in its arrogance; for it sometimes proceeds from confidence, or the security of consciousness, or a natural loftiness of mind. Derision, however, is a more wanton feeling, and so far it points more directly⁶²⁹ to a carping insolence. Now only consider what great deriders of your gods you show yourselves to be! I say nothing of your indulgence of this feeling during your sacrificial acts, how you offer for your victims the poorest and most emaciated creatures; or else of the sound and healthy animals only the portions which are useless for food, such as the heads and hoofs, or the plucked feathers and hair, and whatever at home you would have thrown away. I pass over whatever may seem to the taste⁶³⁰ of the vulgar and profane to have constituted the religion⁶³¹ of your forefathers; but then the most learned and serious classes (for seriousness and wisdom to some extent⁶³² profess⁶³³ to be derived from learning) are always, in fact, the most irreverent towards your gods; and if their learning ever halts, it is only to make up for the remissness by a more shameful invention of follies and falsehoods about their gods. I will begin with that enthusiastic fondness which you show for him from whom every depraved writer gets his dreams,

Tensæ.

⁶²⁶ Plane.

Rigaltius has the name *Proculus* in his text; but Tertullian refers not merely to that case but to a usual functionary, necessary in all cases of deification.

Oehler reads "ei" (of course for "ii"); Rigalt. reads "ii."

⁶²⁹ Denotation ad.

⁶³⁰ Gulæ, "Depraved taste."

Prope religionem convenire, "to have approximated to."

Ouatenus.

⁶³³ Credunt, one would expect "creduntur" ("are supposed"), which is actually read by Gothofredus.

to whom you ascribe as much honour as you derogate from your gods, by magnifying him who has made such sport of them. I mean Homer by this description. He it is, in my opinion, who has treated the majesty of the Divine Being on the low level of human condition, imbuing the gods with the falls⁶³⁴ and the passions of men; who has pitted them against each other with varying success, like pairs of gladiators: he wounds Venus with an arrow from a human hand; he keeps Mars a prisoner in chains for thirteen months, with the prospect of perishing; 635 he parades 636 Jupiter as suffering a like indignity from a crowd of celestial (rebels;) or he draws from him tears for Sarpedon; or he represents him wantoning with Juno in the most disgraceful way, advocating his incestuous passion for her by a description and enumeration of his various amours. Since then, which of the poets has not, on the authority of their great prince, calumniated the gods, by either betraying truth or feigning falsehood? Have the dramatists also, whether in tragedy or comedy, refrained from making the gods the authors⁶³⁷ of the calamities and retributions (of their plays)? I say nothing of your philosophers, whom a certain inspiration of truth itself elevates against the gods, and secures from all fear in their proud severity and stern discipline. Take, for example, 638 Socrates. In contempt of your gods, he swears by an oak, and a dog, and a goat. Now, although he was condemned to die for this very reason, the Athenians afterwards repented of that condemnation, and even put to death his accusers. By this conduct of theirs the testimony of Socrates is replaced at its full value, and I am enabled to meet you with this retort, that in his case you have approbation bestowed on that which is now-a-days reprobated in us. But besides this instance there is Diogenes, who, I know not to what extent, made sport of Hercules; whilst Varro, that Diogenes of the Roman cut, 639 introduces to our view some three hundred Joves, or, as they ought to be called, Jupiters, 640 (and all) without heads. Your other wanton wits⁶⁴¹ likewise minister to your pleasures by disgracing the gods. Examine carefully the sacrilegious⁶⁴² beauties of your Lentuli and Hostii; now, is it the players or your gods who become the objects of your mirth in their tricks and jokes? Then, again, with what pleasure do you take up the literature of the stage, which describes all the foul conduct of the gods! Their majesty is defiled in your presence in some unchaste body. The mask of some deity, at your will, 643 covers some infamous paltry head. The Sun mourns for the death of his son by a

```
Or, "circumstances" (casibus).
634
          Fortasse periturum.
635
          Traducit, perhaps "degrades."
636
          Ut dei præfarentur. Oehler explains the verb "præfari" to mean "auctorem esse et tanquam caput."
637
638
          Denique.
          Stili.
639
640
          Tertullian gives the comic plural "Juppiteres."
          Ingenia.
641
          Because appropriating to themselves the admiration which was due to the gods.
642
          Cujuslibet dei.
643
```



lightning-flash amid your rude rejoicing. Cybele sighs for a shepherd who disdains her, without raising a blush on your cheek; and you quietly endure songs which celebrate⁶⁴⁴ the gallantries of Jove. You are, of course, possessed of a more religious spirit in the show of your gladiators, when your gods dance, with equal zest, over the spilling of human blood, (and) over those filthy penalties which are at once their proof and plot for executing your criminals, or else (when) your criminals are punished personating the gods themselves.⁶⁴⁵ We have often witnessed in a mutilated criminal your god of Pessinum, Attis; a wretch burnt alive has personated Hercules. We have laughed at the sport of your mid-day game of the gods, when Father Pluto, Jove's own brother, drags away, hammer in hand, the remains of the gladiators; when Mercury, with his winged cap and heated wand, tests with his cautery whether the bodies were really lifeless, or only feigning death. Who now can investigate every particular of this sort although so destructive of the honour of the Divine Being, and so humiliating to His majesty? They all, indeed, have their origin⁶⁴⁶ in a contempt (of the gods), on the part both of those who practise⁶⁴⁷ these personations, as well as of those⁶⁴⁸ who are susceptible of being so represented.⁶⁴⁹ I hardly know, therefore, whether your gods have more reason to complain of yourselves or of us. After despising them on the one hand, you flatter them on the other; if you fail in any duty towards them, you appease them with a fee;650 in short, you allow yourselves to act towards them in any way you please. We, however, live in a consistent and entire aversion to them.

Sustinetis modulari.

It is best to add the original of this almost unintelligible passage: "Plane religiosiores estis in gladiatorum cavea, ubi super sanguinem humanum, supra inquinamenta pœnarum proinde saltant dei vestri argumenta et historias nocentibus erogandis, aut in ipsis deis nocentes puniuntur." Some little light may be derived from the parallel passage of the Apology (c. xv.), which is expressed somewhat less obscurely. Instead of the words in italics, Tertullian there substitutes these: "Argumenta et historias noxiis ministrantes, nisi quod et ipsos deos vestros sæpe noxii induunt"—"whilst furnishing the proofs and the plots for (executing) criminals, only that the said criminals often act the part of your gods themselves." Oehler refers, in illustration of the last clause, to the instance of the notorious robber Laureolus, who personated Prometheus; others, again, personated Laureolus himself: some criminals had to play the part of Orpheus; others of Mutius Scævola. It will be observed that these executions were with infamous perverseness set off with scenic show, wherein the criminal enacted some violent death in yielding up his own life. The indignant irony of the whole passage, led off by the "plane religiosiores estis," is evident.

⁶⁴⁶ Censentur.

Factitant.

i.e., the gods themselves.

Redimitis.

Redimitis.

Chapter XI.⁶⁵¹—The Absurd Cavil of the Ass's Head Disposed of.

In this matter we are (said to be) guilty not merely of forsaking the religion of the community, but of introducing a monstrous superstition; for some among you have dreamed that our god is an ass's head,—an absurdity which Cornelius Tacitus first suggested. In the fourth book of his histories, 652 where he is treating of the Jewish war, he begins his description with the origin of that nation, and gives his own views respecting both the origin and the name of their religion. He relates that the Jews, in their migration in the desert, when suffering for want of water, escaped by following for guides some wild asses, which they supposed to be going in quest of water after pasture, and that on this account the image of one of these animals was worshipped by the Jews. From this, I suppose, it was presumed that we, too, from our close connection with the Jewish religion, have ours consecrated under the same emblematic form. The same Cornelius Tacitus, however,—who, to say the truth, is most loquacious in falsehood—forgetting his later statement, relates how Pompey the Great, after conquering the Jews and capturing Jerusalem, entered the temple, but found nothing in the shape of an image, though he examined the place carefully. Where, then, should their God have been found? Nowhere else, of course, than in so memorable a temple which was carefully shut to all but the priests, and into which there could be no fear of a stranger entering. But what apology must I here offer for what I am going to say, when I have no other object at the moment than to make a passing remark or two in a general way which shall be equally applicable to yourselves?⁶⁵³ Suppose that our God, then, be an asinine person, will you at all events deny that you possess the same characteristics with ourselves in that matter? (Not their heads only, but) entire asses, are, to be sure, objects of adoration to you, along with their tutelar Epona; and all herds, and cattle, and beasts you consecrate, and their stables into the bargain! This, perhaps, is your grievance against us, that, when surrounded by cattle-worshippers of every kind we are simply devoted to asses!

Chapter XII.⁶⁵⁴—The Charge of Worshipping a Cross. The Heathens Themselves Made Much of Crosses in Sacred Things; Nay, Their Very Idols Were Formed on a Crucial Frame.

⁶⁵¹ Comp. The Apology, c. xvi.

In The Apology (c. xvi.) the reference is to "the fifth book." This is correct. Book v. c. 3, is meant.

In vobis, for "in vos" ex pari transferendorum.

⁶⁵⁴ Comp. The Apology, c. xvi.



As for him who affirms that we are "the priesthood of a cross," 655 we shall claim him 656 as our co-religionist. 657 A cross is, in its material, a sign of wood; amongst yourselves also the object of worship is a wooden figure. Only, whilst with you the figure is a human one, with us the wood is its own figure. Never mind⁶⁵⁸ for the present what is the shape, provided the material is the same: the form, too, is of no importance, 659 if so be it be the actual body of a god. If, however, there arises a question of difference on this point what, (let me ask,) is the difference between the Athenian Pallas, or the Pharian Ceres, and wood formed into a cross, 660 when each is represented by a rough stock, without form, and by the merest rudiment of a statue⁶⁶¹ of unformed wood? Every piece of timber⁶⁶² which is fixed in the ground in an erect position is a part of a cross, and indeed the greater portion of its mass. But an entire cross is attributed to us, with its transverse beam, ⁶⁶³ of course, and its projecting seat. Now you have the less to excuse you, for you dedicate to religion only a mutilated imperfect piece of wood, while others consecrate to the sacred purpose a complete structure. The truth, however, after all is, that your religion is all cross, as I shall show. You are indeed unaware that your gods in their origin have proceeded from this hated cross.⁶⁶⁴ Now, every image, whether carved out of wood or stone, or molten in metal, or produced out of any other richer material, must needs have had plastic hands engaged in its formation. Well, then, this modeller, 665 before he did anything else,666 hit upon the form of a wooden cross, because even our own body assumes as its natural position the latent and concealed outline of a cross. Since the head rises upwards, and the back takes a straight direction, and the shoulders project laterally, if you simply place a man with his arms and hands outstretched, you will make the general outline of a cross. Starting, then, from this rudimental form and prop, 667 as it were, he applies a covering of clay, and so gradually completes the limbs, and forms the body, and covers the cross within with the shape which he meant to impress upon the clay; then from this design, with the help of compasses and leaden moulds, he has got all ready for his image which is to be brought out into marble, or clay,

```
Crucis antistites.
655
656
          Erit.
           Consacraneus.
657
           Viderint.
658
           Viderit.
659
660
           Stipite crucis.
           Solo staticulo. The use of wood in the construction of an idol is mentioned afterward.
661
662
           Antemna. See our Anti-Marcion, p. 156. Ed. Edinburgh.
663
664
          De isto patibulo.
          Plasta.
665
          In primo.
666
           Statumini.
667
```

or whatever the material be of which he has determined to make his god. (This, then, is the process:) after the cross-shaped frame, the clay; after the clay, the god. In a well-understood routine, the cross passes into a god through the clayey medium. The cross then you consecrate, and from it the consecrated (deity) begins to derive his origin. 668 By way of example, let us take the case of a tree which grows up into a system of branches and foliage, and is a reproduction of its own kind, whether it springs from the kernel of an olive, or the stone of a peach, or a grain of pepper which has been duly tempered under ground. Now, if you transplant it, or take a cutting off its branches for another plant, to what will you attribute what is produced by the propagation? Will it not be to the grain, or the stone, or the kernel? Because, as the third stage is attributable to the second, and the second in like manner to the first, so the third will have to be referred to the first, through the second as the mean. We need not stay any longer in the discussion of this point, since by a natural law every kind of produce throughout nature refers back its growth to its original source; and just as the product is comprised in its primal cause, so does that cause agree in character with the thing produced. Since, then, in the production of your gods, you worship the cross which originates them, here will be the original kernel and grain, from which are propagated the wooden materials of your idolatrous images. Examples are not far to seek. Your victories you celebrate with religious ceremony⁶⁶⁹ as deities; and they are the more august in proportion to the joy they bring you. The frames on which you hang up your trophies must be crosses: these are, as it were, the very core of your pageants.⁶⁷⁰ Thus, in your victories, the religion of your camp makes even crosses objects of worship; your standards it adores, your standards are the sanction of its oaths; your standards it prefers before Jupiter himself. But all that parade⁶⁷¹ of images, and that display of pure gold, are (as so many) necklaces of the crosses. In like manner also, in the banners and ensigns, which your soldiers guard with no less sacred care, you have the streamers (and) vestments of your crosses. You are ashamed, I suppose, to worship unadorned and simple crosses.



Chapter XIII.⁶⁷²—The Charge of Worshipping the Sun Met by a Retort.

Others, with greater regard to good manners, it must be confessed, suppose that the sun is the god of the Christians, because it is a well-known fact that we pray towards the east, or because we make Sunday a day of festivity. What then? Do you do less than this? Do not many among you,

⁶⁶⁸ Comp. *The Apology*, c. xii.: "Every image of a god has been first constructed on a cross and stake, and plastered with cement. The body of your god is first dedicated upon a gibbet."

Veneramini.

Tropæum, for "tropæorum." We have given the sense rather than the words of this awkward sentence.

Suggestus.

⁶⁷² Comp. The Apology, c. xvi.

with an affectation of sometimes worshipping the heavenly bodies likewise, move your lips in the direction of the sunrise? It is you, at all events, who have even admitted the sun into the calendar of the week; and you have selected its day,⁶⁷³ in preference to the preceding day⁶⁷⁴ as the most suitable in the week⁶⁷⁵ for either an entire abstinence from the bath, or for its postponement until the evening, or for taking rest and for banqueting. By resorting to these customs, you deliberately deviate from your own religious rites to those of strangers. For the Jewish feasts on the Sabbath and "the Purification,"⁶⁷⁶ and Jewish also are the ceremonies of the lamps,⁶⁷⁷ and the fasts of unleavened bread, and the "littoral prayers,"⁶⁷⁸ all which institutions and practices are of course foreign from your gods. Wherefore, that I may return from this digression, you who reproach us with the sun and Sunday should consider your proximity to us. We are not far off from your Saturn and your days of rest.

Chapter XIV. 679—The Vile Calumny About Onocoetes Retorted on the Heathen by Tertullian.

Report has introduced a new calumny respecting our God. Not so long ago, a most abandoned wretch in that city of yours, ⁶⁸⁰ a man who had deserted indeed his own religion—a Jew, in fact, who had only lost his skin, flayed of course by wild beasts, ⁶⁸¹ against which he enters the lists for hire day after day with a sound body, and so in a condition to lose his skin ⁶⁸²—carried about in public a caricature of us with this label: *Onocoetes*. ⁶⁸³ This (figure) had ass's ears, and was dressed

```
673 Sunday.
```

⁶⁷⁴ Saturday.

Ex diebus.

On the "Cœna pura," see our *Anti-Marcion*, p. 386, note 4.

See Lev. xxiv. 2; also 2 Chron. xiii. 11. Witsius (Ægyptiaca, ii. 16, 17) compares the Jewish with the Egyptian "ritus lucernarum."

Tertullian, in his tract *de Jejun*. xvi., speaks of the Jews praying (after the loss of their temple, and in their dispersion) in the open air, "per omne litus."

⁶⁷⁹ Comp. The Apology, c. xvi.

In ista civitate, Rome.

This is explained in the passage of *The Apology* (xvi.): "He had for money exposed himself with criminals to fight with wild beasts."

Decutiendus, from a jocular word, "decutire."

This curious word is compounded of ὅνος, an ass, and κοιᾶσθαι, which Hesychius explains by ἰερᾶσθαι, to act as a priest. The word therefore means, "asinarius sacerdos," "an ass of a priest." Calumnious enough; but suited to the vile occasion, and illustrative of the ribald opposition which Christianity had to encounter.

in a *toga* with a book, having a hoof on one of his feet. And the crowd believed this infamous Jew. For what other set of men is the seed-plot⁶⁸⁴ of all the calumny against us? Throughout the city, therefore, Onocoetes is all the talk. As, however, it is less then "a nine days' wonder," and so destitute of all authority from time, and weak enough from the character of its author, I shall gratify myself by using it simply in the way of a retort. Let us then see whether you are not here also found in our company. Now it matters not what their form may be, when our concern is about deformed images. You have amongst you gods with a dog's head, and a lion's head, with the horns of a cow, and a ram, and a goat, goat-shaped or serpent-shaped, and winged in foot, head, and back. Why therefore brand our one God so conspicuously? Many an *Onocoetes* is found amongst yourselves.

Chapter XV. 686—The Charge of Infanticide Retorted on the Heathen.

Since we are on a par in respect of the gods, it follows that there is no difference between us on the point of sacrifice, or even of worship,⁶⁸⁷ if I may be allowed to make good our comparison from another sort of evidence. We begin our religious service, or initiate our mysteries, with slaying an infant. As for you, since your own transactions in human blood and infanticide have faded from your memory, you shall be duly reminded of them in the proper place; we now postpone most of the instances, that we may not seem to be everywhere⁶⁸⁸ handling the selfsame topics. Meanwhile, as I have said, the comparison between us does not fail in another point of view. For if we are infanticides in one sense, you also can hardly be deemed such in any other sense; because, although you are forbidden by the laws to slay new-born infants, it so happens that no laws are evaded with more impunity or greater safety, with the deliberate knowledge of the public, and the suffrages⁶⁸⁹ of this entire age.⁶⁹⁰ Yet there is no great difference between us, only you do not kill your infants in the way of a sacred rite, nor (as a service) to God. But then you make away with them in a more cruel manner, because you expose them to the cold and hunger, and to wild beasts, or else you get rid of them by the slower death of drowning. If, however, there does occur any dissimilarity between



We take Rigaltius' reading, "seminarium."

Tanquam hesternum.

⁶⁸⁶ Comp. The Apology, c. ix.

⁶⁸⁷ Sacri.

He refers in this passage to his *Apology*, especially c. ix.

Tabellis.

Unius ætatis. This Oehler explains by "per unam jam totam hanc ætatem."

us in this matter,⁶⁹¹ you must not overlook the fact that it is your own dear children⁶⁹² whose life you quench; and this will supplement, nay, abundantly aggravate, on your side of the question, whatever is defective in us on other grounds. Well, but we are said to sup off our impious sacrifice! Whilst we postpone to a more suitable place⁶⁹³ whatever resemblance even to this practice is discoverable amongst yourselves, we are not far removed from you in voracity. If in the one case there is unchastity, and in ours cruelty, we are still on the same footing (if I may so far admit our guilt⁶⁹⁴) in nature, where cruelty is always found in concord with unchastity. But, after all, what do you less than we; or rather, what do you not do in excess of us? I wonder whether it be a small matter to you⁶⁹⁵ to pant for human entrails, because you devour full-grown men alive? Is it, forsooth, only a trifle to lick up human blood, when you draw out⁶⁹⁶ the blood which was destined to live? Is it a light thing in your view to feed on an infant, when you consume one wholly before it is come to the birth?⁶⁹⁷

Chapter XVI.⁶⁹⁸—Other Charges Repelled by the Same Method. The Story of the Noble Roman Youth and His Parents.

I am now come to the hour for extinguishing the lamps, and for using the dogs, and practising the deeds of darkness. And on this point I am afraid I must succumb to you; for what similar accusation shall I have to bring against you? But you should at once commend the cleverness with which we make our incest look modest, in that we have devised a spurious night, ⁶⁹⁹ to avoid polluting the real light and darkness, and have even thought it right to dispense with earthly lights, and to play tricks also with our conscience. For whatever we do ourselves, we suspect in others when we choose (to be suspicious). As for your incestuous deeds, on the contrary, ⁷⁰⁰ men enjoy them at full liberty, in the face of day, or in the natural night, or before high Heaven; and in proportion to their successful issue is your own ignorance of the result, since you publicly indulge in your incestuous

```
Genere.
```

⁶⁹² Pignora, scil. amoris.

See Apology, c. ix.

⁶⁹⁴ Si forte.

⁶⁹⁵ Parum scilicet?

⁶⁹⁶ Elicitis.

Infantem totum præcocum.

⁶⁹⁸ Comp. The Apology, c. ix.

⁶⁹⁹ Adulteram noctem.

⁷⁰⁰ Ceterum.

intercourse in the full cognizance of broad day-light. (No ignorance, however, conceals our conduct from our eyes,) for in the very darkness we are able to recognise our own misdeeds. The Persians, you know very well, 701 according to Ctesias, live quite promiscuously with their mothers, in full knowledge of the fact, and without any horror; whilst of the Macedonians it is well known that they constantly do the same thing, and with perfect approbation: for once, when the blinded⁷⁰² Œdipus came upon their stage, they greeted him with laughter and derisive cheers. The actor, taking off his mask in great alarm, said, "Gentlemen, have I displeased you?" "Certainly not," replied the Macedonians, "you have played your part well enough; but either the author was very silly, if he invented (this mutilation as an atonement for the incest), or else Œdipus was a great fool for his pains if he really so punished himself;" and then they shouted out one to the other, "Ηλσυνε είς τὴν μητέρα. But how insignificant, (say you,) is the stain which one or two nations can make on the whole world! As for us, we of course have infected the very sun, polluted the entire ocean! Quote, then, one nation which is free from the passions which allure the whole race of men to incest! If there is a single nation which knows nothing of concubinage through the necessity of age and sex—to say nothing of lust and licentiousness—that nation will be a stranger to incest. If any nature can be found so peculiarly removed from the human state as to be liable neither to ignorance, nor error, nor misfortune, that alone may be adduced with any consistency as an answer to the Christians. Reflect, therefore, on the licentiousness which floats about amongst men's passions⁷⁰³ as if they were the winds, and consider whether there be any communities which the full and strong tides of passion fail to waft to the commission of this great sin. In the first place, when you expose your infants to the mercy of others, or leave them for adoption to better parents than yourselves, do you forget what an opportunity for incest is furnished, how wide a scope is opened for its accidental commission? Undoubtedly, such of you as are more serious from a principle of self-restraint and careful reflection, abstain from lusts which could produce results of such a kind, in whatever place you may happen to be, at home or abroad, so that no indiscriminate diffusion of seed, or licentious reception thereof, will produce children to you unawares, such as their very parents, or else other children, might encounter in inadvertent incest, for no restraint from age is regarded in (the importunities of) lust. All acts of adultery, all cases of fornication, all the licentiousness of public brothels, whether committed at home or perpetrated out of doors, 704 serve to produce confusions of blood and complications of natural relationship, 705 and thence to conduce to incest; from which consummation your players and buffoons draw the materials of their exhibitions. It was from such a source, too, that so flagrant a tragedy recently burst upon the public as that which the prefect



⁷⁰¹ Plane.

⁷⁰² Trucidatus oculos.

Frrores.

Sive stativo vel ambulatorio titulo.

⁷⁰⁵ Compagines generis.

Fuscianus had judicially to decide. A boy of noble birth, who, by the unintentional neglect of his attendants, 706 had strolled too far from home, was decoyed by some passers-by, and carried off. The paltry Greek⁷⁰⁷ who had the care of him, or somebody else,⁷⁰⁸ in true Greek fashion, had gone into the house and captured him. Having been taken away into Asia, he is brought, when arrived at full age, back to Rome, and exposed for sale. His own father buys him unawares, and treats him as a Greek. 709 Afterwards, as was his wont, the youth is sent by his master into the fields, chained as a slave. 710 Thither the tutor and the nurse had already been banished for punishment. The whole case is represented to them; they relate each other's misfortunes: they, on the one hand, how they had lost their ward when he was a boy; he, on the other hand, that he had been lost from his boyhood. But they agreed in the main, that he was a native of Rome of a noble family; perhaps he further gave sure proofs of his identity. Accordingly, as God willed it for the purpose of fastening a stain upon that age, a presentiment about the time excites him, the periods exactly suit his age, even his eyes help to recall⁷¹¹ his features, some peculiar marks on his body are enumerated. His master and mistress, who are now no other than his own father and mother, anxiously urge a protracted inquiry. The slave-dealer is examined, the unhappy truth is all discovered. When their wickedness becomes manifest, the parents find a remedy for their despair by hanging themselves; to their son, who survives the miserable calamity, their property is awarded by the prefect, not as an inheritance, but as the wages of infamy and incest. That one case was a sufficient example for public exposure⁷¹² of the sins of this sort which are secretly perpetrated among you. Nothing happens among men in solitary isolation. But, as it seems to me, it is only in a solitary case that such a charge can be drawn out against us, even in the mysteries of our religion. You ply us evermore with this charge;⁷¹³ yet there are like delinquencies to be traced amongst you, even in your ordinary course of life.⁷¹⁴

Chapter XVII.⁷¹⁵—The Christian Refusal to Swear by the Genius of Cæsar. Flippancy and Irreverence Retorted on the Heathen.

```
Comitum.
706
          Græculus.
707
          "Aliquis" is here understood.
708
          Utitur Græco, i.e., cinædo, "for purposes of lust."
709
710
          Or, "is sent into the country, and put into prison."
          Aliquid recordantur.
711
712
          Publicæ eruptionis.
713
          Intentatis.
          Vestris non sacramentis, with a hyphen, "your non-mysteries."
714
715
          Comp. The Apology, c. xxxv.
```

As to your charges of obstinacy and presumption, whatever you allege against us, even in these respects, there are not wanting points in which you will bear a comparison with us. Our first step in this contumacious conduct concerns that which is ranked by you immediately after⁷¹⁶ the worship due to God, that is, the worship due to the majesty of the Cæsars, in respect of which we are charged with being irreligious towards them, since we neither propitiate their images nor swear by their genius. We are called enemies of the people. Well, be it so; yet at the same time (it must not be forgotten, that) the emperors find enemies amongst you heathen, and are constantly getting surnames to signalize their triumphs—one becoming *Parthicus*, 717 and another *Medicus* and *Germanicus*. 718 On this head⁷¹⁹ the Roman people must see to it who they are amongst whom⁷²⁰ there still remain nations which are unsubdued and foreign to their rule. But, at all events, you are of us,⁷²¹ and yet you conspire against us. (In reply, we need only state) a well-known fact, 722 that we acknowledge the fealty of Romans to the emperors. No conspiracy has ever broken out from our body: no Cæsar's blood has ever fixed a stain upon us, in the senate or even in the palace; no assumption of the purple has ever in any of the provinces been affected by us. The Syrias still exhale the odours of their corpses; still do the Gauls⁷²³ fail to wash away (their blood) in the waters of their Rhone. Your allegations of our insanity⁷²⁴ I omit, because they do not compromise the Roman name. But I will grapple with⁷²⁵ the charge of sacrilegious vanity, and remind you of⁷²⁶ the irreverence of your own lower classes, and the scandalous lampoons⁷²⁷ of which the statues are so cognizant, and the sneers which are sometimes uttered at the public games, 728 and the curses with which the circus resounds. If not in arms, you are in tongue at all events always rebellious. But I suppose it is quite another affair to refuse to swear by the genius of Cæsar? For it is fairly open to doubt as to who are perjurers on this point, when you do not swear honestly⁷²⁹ even by your gods. Well, we do not call the emperor



```
716 Secunda.
```

- 722 Sane.
- 723 Galliæ.
- Vesaniæ.
- 725 Conveniam.
- 726 Recognoscam.
- 727 Festivos libellos.
- 728 A concilio.
- Ex fide.

⁷¹⁷ Severus, in A.D. 198.

These titles were borne by Caracalla.

⁷¹⁹ Or, "topic"—hoc loco.

i.e., whether among the Christians or the heathen.

A cavil of the heathen.

God; for on this point *sannam facimus*,⁷³⁰ as the saying is. But the truth is, that you who call Cæsar God both mock him, by calling him what he is not, and curse him, because he does not want to be what you call him. For he prefers living to being made a god.⁷³¹

Chapter XVIII.⁷³²—Christians Charged with an Obstinate Contempt of Death. Instances of the Same are Found Amongst the Heathen.

The rest of your charge of obstinacy against us you sum up in this indictment, that we boldly refuse neither your swords, nor your crosses, nor your wild beasts, nor fire, nor tortures, such is our obduracy and contempt of death. But (you are inconsistent in your charges); for in former times amongst your own ancestors all these terrors have come in men's intrepidity⁷³³ not only to be despised, but even to be held in great praise. How many swords there were, and what brave men were willing to suffer by them, it were irksome to enumerate.⁷³⁴ (If we take the torture) of the cross, of which so many instances have occurred, exquisite in cruelty, your own Regulus readily initiated the suffering which up to his day was without a precedent;⁷³⁵ a queen of Egypt used wild beasts of her own (to accomplish her death);⁷³⁶ the Carthaginian woman, who in the last extremity of her country was more courageous than her husband Asdrubal, 737 only followed the example, set long before by Dido herself, of going through fire to her death. Then, again, a woman of Athens defied the tyrant, exhausted his tortures, and at last, lest her person and sex might succumb through weakness, she bit off her tongue and spat out of her mouth the only possible instrument of a confession which was now out of her power.⁷³⁸ But in your own instance you account such deeds glorious, in ours obstinate. Annihilate now the glory of your ancestors, in order that you may thereby annihilate us also. Be content from henceforth to repeal the praises of your forefathers, in order that you may not have to accord commendation to us for the same (sufferings). Perhaps (you will say) the character of a more robust age may have rendered the spirits of antiquity more enduring.

```
730 Literally, "we make faces."
```

⁷³¹ Comp. The Apology, c. xxxiii., p. 37, supra, and Minucius Felix, Octavius, c. xxiii. [Vol. IV. this Series.]

⁷³² Comp., *The Apology*, c. 50 [p. 54, *infra*.]

A virtute didicerunt.

With the "piget prosequi" to govern the preceding oblique clause, it is unnecessary to suppose (with Oehler) the omission here of some verb like "erogavit."

Novitatem...dedicavit.

Tertullian refers to Cleopatra's death also in his tract *ad Mart*. c. iv. [See this Vol. *infra*.]

This case is again referred to in this treatise (p. 138), and in *ad Mart* c. iv. [See this Volume, *infra*.]

Eradicatæ confessionis. [See p. 55, *supra*.]

Now, however, (we enjoy) the blessing of quietness and peace; so that the minds and dispositions of men (should be) more tolerant even towards strangers. Well, you rejoin, be it so: you may compare yourselves with the ancients; we must needs pursue with hatred all that we find in you offensive to ourselves, because it does not obtain currency⁷³⁹ among us. Answer me, then, on each particular case by itself. I am not seeking for examples on a uniform scale.⁷⁴⁰ Since, forsooth, the sword through their contempt of death produced stories of heroism amongst your ancestors, it is not, of course,741 from love of life that you go to the trainers sword in hand and offer yourselves as gladiators, 742 (nor) through fear of death do you enrol your names in the army. 743 Since an ordinary 744 woman makes her death famous by wild beasts, it cannot but be of your own pure accord that you encounter wild beasts day after day in the midst of peaceful times. Although no longer any Regulus among you has raised a cross as the instrument of his own crucifixion, yet a contempt of the fire has even now displayed itself,745 since one of yourselves very lately has offered for a wager746 to go to any place which may be fixed upon and put on the burning shirt.747 If a woman once defiantly danced beneath the scourge, the same feat has been very recently performed again by one of your own (circus-) hunters⁷⁴⁸ as he traversed the appointed course, not to mention the famous sufferings of the Spartans.749



750

Chapter XIX.⁷⁵⁰—If Christians and the Heathen Thus Resemble Each Other, There is Great Difference in the Grounds and Nature of Their Apparently Similar Conduct.

```
Non invenitur.
739
          Eadem voce.
740
741
          Utique. The ironical tone of Tertullian's answer is evident.
          Gladio ad lanistas auctoratis.
742
          We follow Oehler in giving the clause this negative turn; he renders it: "Tretet nicht aus Furcht vor dem Tode ins Kriegsheer
743
   ein."
744
          Alicui.
          Jam evasit.
745
746
          Auctoravit.
          Vestiendum incendiale tunica.
747
748
          Inter venatorios: "venatores circi" (Oehler).
          "Doubtless the stripes which the Spartans endured with such firmness, aggravated by the presence of their nearest relatives,
749
   who encouraged them, conferred honour upon their family."—Apology, c. 50. [See p. 55, supra.]
```

Compare *The Apology*, cc. xlvii. xlviii. xlix. [This Vol., *supra*.]

Here end, I suppose, your tremendous charges of obstinacy against the Christians. Now, since we are amenable to them in common with yourselves, it only remains that we compare the grounds which the respective parties have for being personally derided. All our obstinacy, however, is with you a foregone conclusion, 751 based on our strong convictions; for we take for granted 752 a resurrection of the dead. Hope in this resurrection amounts to⁷⁵³ a contempt of death. Ridicule, therefore, as much as you like the excessive stupidity of such minds as die that they may live; but then, in order that you may be able to laugh more merrily, and deride us with greater boldness, you must take your sponge, or perhaps your tongue, and wipe away those records of yours every now and then cropping out, 754 which assert in not dissimilar terms that souls will return to bodies. But how much more worthy of acceptance is our belief which maintains that they will return to the same bodies! And how much more ridiculous is your inherited conceit,755 that the human spirit is to reappear in a dog, or a mule, or a peacock! Again, we affirm that a judgment has been ordained by God according to the merits of every man. This you ascribe to Minos and Rhadamanthus, while at the same time you reject Aristides, who was a juster judge than either. By the award of the judgment, we say that the wicked will have to spend an eternity in endless fire, the pious and innocent in a region of bliss. In your view likewise an unalterable condition is ascribed to the respective destinations of Pyriphlegethon⁷⁵⁶ and Elysium. Now they are not merely your composers of myth and poetry who write songs of this strain; but your philosophers also speak with all confidence of the return of souls to their former state, 757 and of the twofold award of a final judgment.

Chapter XX.—Truth and Reality Pertain to Christians Alone. The Heathen Counselled to Examine and Embrace It.

How long therefore, O most unjust heathen, will you refuse to acknowledge us, and (what is more) to execrate your own (worthies), since between us no distinction has place, because we are one and the same? Since you do not (of course) hate what you yourselves are, give us rather your right hands in fellowship, unite your salutations, mingle your embraces, sanguinary with the

```
751 Præstruitur.
```

⁷⁵² Præsumimus.

⁷⁵³ Est.

⁷⁵⁴ Interim.

⁷⁵⁵ Traditum.

The heathen hell, *Tartarus* or *Orcus*.

⁷⁵⁷ Reciprocatione.

⁷⁵⁸ Distributione.

⁷⁵⁹ Compingite oscula.

sanguinary, incestuous with the incestuous, conspirators with conspirators, obstinate and vain with those of the selfsame qualities. In company with each other, we have been traitors to the majesty of the gods; and together do we provoke their indignation. You too have your "third race;" not indeed third in the way of religious rite, 761 but a third race in sex, and, made up as it is of male and female in one, it is more fitted to men and women (for offices of lust). 762 Well, then, do we offend you by the very fact of our approximation and agreement? Being on a par is apt to furnish unconsciously the materials for rivalry. Thus "a potter envies a potter, and a smith a smith." 763 But we must now discontinue this imaginary confession.⁷⁶⁴ Our conscience has returned to the truth, and to the consistency of truth. For all those points which you allege⁷⁶⁵ (against us) will be really found in ourselves alone; and we alone can rebut them, against whom they are adduced, by getting you to listen⁷⁶⁶ to the other side of the question, whence that full knowledge is learnt which both inspires counsel and directs the judgment. Now it is in fact your own maxim, that no one should determine a cause without hearing both sides of it; and it is only in our own case that you neglect (the equitable principle). You indulge to the full⁷⁶⁷ that fault of human nature, that those things which you do not disallow in yourselves you condemn in others, or you boldly charge⁷⁶⁸ against others those things the guilt of which⁷⁶⁹ you retain a lasting consciousness of⁷⁷⁰ in yourselves. The course of life in which you will choose to occupy yourselves is different from ours: whilst chaste in the eyes of others, you are unchaste towards your own selves; whilst vigorous against vice out of doors, you succumb to it at home. This is the injustice (which we have to suffer), that, knowing truth, we are condemned by those who know it not; free from guilt, we are judged by those who are implicated in it. Remove the mote, or rather the beam, out of your own eye, that you may be able to extract the mote from the eyes of others. Amend your own lives first, that you may be able to punish the Christians. Only so far as you shall have effected your own reformation, will you refuse to inflict punishment on them—nay, so far will you have become Christians yourselves; and as you shall have become Christians, so far will you have compassed your own amendment of life. Learn what that is which you accuse in us, and you will accuse no longer; search out what that is



⁷⁶⁰ Eunuchs (Rigalt.).

As the Christians were held to be; coming after (1) the heathen, (2) the Jews. See above, c. viii., and *Scorpiace*, c. x.

⁷⁶² Eunuchs (Rigalt.)

An oft-quoted proverb in ancient writers. It occurs in Hesiod (*Opp. et Dies*) 25.

Literally, "cease henceforth, O, simulated confession."

Omnia ista.

This seems to be the force of the "agnitione," which Oehler renders "auditione."

⁷⁶⁷ Satisfacitis.

Jactetis.

⁷⁶⁹ Quorum reatum.

⁷⁷⁰ Memineritis.

which you do not accuse in yourselves, and you will become self-accusers. From these very few and humble remarks, so far as we have been able to open out the subject to you, you will plainly get some insight into (your own) error, and some discovery of *our* truth. Condemn that truth if you have the heart,⁷⁷¹ but only after you have examined it; and approve the error still, if you are so minded,⁷⁷² only first explore it. But if your prescribed rule is to love error and hate truth, why, (let me ask,) do you not probe to a full discovery the objects both of your love and your hatred?



Book II.773

Chapter I.—The Heathen Gods from Heathen Authorities. Varro Has Written a Work on the Subject. His Threefold Classification. The Changeable Character of that Which Ought to Be Fixed and Certain.

Our defence requires that we should at this point discuss with you the character of your gods, O ye heathen, fit objects of our pity, 774 appealing even to your own conscience to determine whether they be truly gods, as you would have it supposed, or falsely, as you are unwilling to have proved. 775 Now this is the material part of human error, owing to the wiles of its author, that it is never free from the ignorance of error, 776 whence your guilt is all the greater. Your eyes are open, yet they see not; your ears are unstopped, yet they hear not; though your heart beats, it is yet dull, nor does your mind understand 777 that of which it is cognizant. 778 If indeed the enormous perverseness (of your worship) could 779 be broken up 800 by a single demurrer, we should have our objection ready to hand in the declaration 811 that, as we know all those gods of yours to have been instituted by

```
771 Si potestis.
```

⁷⁷² Si putatis.

In this part of his work the author reviews the heathen mythology, and exposes the absurdity of the polytheistic worship in the various classes of the gods, according to the distribution of Varro.

⁷⁷⁴ Miserandæ.

Literally, "unwilling to know."

i.e., it does not know that it is error.

⁷⁷⁷ Nescit.

⁷⁷⁸ Agnoscit.

Try Liceret.

Discuti, or, in the logical sense, "be tested."

Nunciatio (legally, this is "an information lodged against a wrong.")

there are many things by which tenderness of conscience is hardened into the callousness of wilful error. Truth is beleaguered with the vast force (of the enemy), and yet how secure she is in her own inherent strength! And naturally enough⁷⁸⁴ when from her very adversaries she gains to her side whomsoever she will, as her friends and protectors, and prostrates the entire host of her assailants. It is therefore against these things that our contest lies—against the institutions of our ancestors, against the authority of tradition,785 the laws of our governors, and the reasonings of the wise; against antiquity, custom, submission;⁷⁸⁶ against precedents, prodigies, miracles,—all which things have had their part in consolidating that spurious⁷⁸⁷ system of your gods. Wishing, then, to follow step by step your own commentaries which you have drawn out of your theology of every sort (because the authority of learned men goes further with you in matters of this kind than the testimony of facts), I have taken and abridged the works of Varro;⁷⁸⁸ for he in his treatise Concerning Divine Things, collected out of ancient digests, has shown himself a serviceable guide⁷⁸⁹ for us. Now, if I inquire of him who were the subtle inventors⁷⁹⁰ of the gods, he points to either the philosophers, the peoples, or the poets. For he has made a threefold distinction in classifying the gods: one being the physical class, of which the philosophers treat; another the mythic class, which is the constant burden of⁷⁹¹ the poets; the third, the *gentile* class, which the nations have adopted each one for itself. When, therefore, the philosophers have ingeniously composed their physical (theology) out of their own conjectures, when the poets have drawn their mythical from fables, and the (several) nations have forged their gentile (polytheism) according to their own will, where in the world must truth be placed? In the conjectures? Well, but these are only a doubtful conception. In the fables? But they are at best an absurd story. In the popular accounts?⁷⁹² This sort of opinion, ⁷⁹³ however, is only

promiscuous⁷⁹⁴ and municipal. Now all things with the philosophers are uncertain, because of their

men, all belief in the true Deity is by this very circumstance brought to nought;⁷⁸² because, of course, nothing which some time or other had a beginning can rightly seem to be divine. But the fact is,⁷⁸³



```
782 Excidere, "falls through."
```

⁷⁸³ Sed enim.

⁷⁸⁴ Quidni?

⁷⁸⁵ Receptorum.

Necessitatem, answering to the "leges dominantium."

⁷⁸⁷ Adulterinam.

⁷⁸⁸ St. Augustine, in his de Civit. Dei, makes similar use of Varro's work on the heathen gods, Liber Divinarum.

⁷⁸⁹ Scopum, perhaps "mark."

⁷⁹⁰ Insinuatores.

⁷⁹¹ Volutetur.

⁷⁹² Adoptionibus.

⁷⁹³ Adoptatio.

⁷⁹⁴ Passiva, "a jumble."

variation with the poets all is worthless, because immoral; with the nations all is irregular and confused, because dependent on their mere choice. The nature of God, however, if it be the true one with which you are concerned, is of so definite a character as not to be derived from uncertain speculations, ⁷⁹⁵ nor contaminated with worthless fables, nor determined by promiscuous conceits. It ought indeed to be regarded, as it really is, as certain, entire, universal, because it is in truth the property of all. Now, what god shall I believe? One that has been gauged by vague suspicion? One that history ⁷⁹⁶ has divulged? One that a community has invented? It would be a far worthier thing if I believed no god, than one which is open to doubt, or full of shame, or the object of arbitrary selection. ⁷⁹⁷

Chapter II.—Philosophers Had Not Succeeded in Discovering God. The Uncertainty and Confusion of Their Speculations.

But the authority of the physical philosophers is maintained *among you*⁷⁹⁸ as the special property⁷⁹⁹ of wisdom. *You mean* of course, that pure and simple wisdom of the philosophers which attests its own weakness mainly by that variety of opinion which proceeds from an ignorance of the truth. Now what wise man is so devoid of truth, as not to know that God is the Father and Lord of wisdom itself and truth? Besides, there is that divine oracle uttered by Solomon: "The fear of the Lord," says he, "is the beginning of wisdom." But gear has its origin in knowledge; for how will a man fear that of which he knows nothing? Therefore he who shall have the fear of God, even if he be ignorant of all things else, if he has attained to the knowledge and truth of God, god, will possess full and perfect wisdom. This, however, is what philosophy has not clearly realized. For although, in their inquisitive disposition to search into all kinds of learning, *the philosophers* may seem to have investigated the sacred Scriptures themselves for their antiquity, and to have derived thence some of their opinions; yet because they have interpolated *these deductions* they prove that they have either despised them wholly or have not fully believed them, for in other cases also the

```
795 Argumentationibus.
```

Historia. This word seems to refer to the class of *mythical* divinity above mentioned. It therefore means "fable" or "absurd story" (see above).

⁷⁹⁷ Adoptivum.

⁷⁹⁸ Patrocinatur.

⁷⁹⁹ Mancipium.

⁸⁰⁰ Prov. ix. 10; Ps. cxi. 10.

⁸⁰¹ Porro.

Deum omnium notititam et veritatem adsecutus, i.e., "following the God of all as knowledge and truth."

simplicity of truth is shaken⁸⁰³ by the over-scrupulousness of an irregular belief,⁸⁰⁴ and that they therefore changed them, as their desire of glory grew, into products of their own mind. The consequence of this is, that even that which they had discovered degenerated into uncertainty, and there arose from one or two drops of truth a perfect flood of argumentation. For after they had simply⁸⁰⁵ found God, they did not expound Him as they found Him, but rather disputed about His quality, and His nature, and even about His abode. The Platonists, indeed, (held) Him to care about worldly things, both as the disposer and judge thereof. The Epicureans regarded Him as apathetic⁸⁰⁶ and inert, and (so to say) a non-entity.807 The Stoics believed Him to be outside of the world; the Platonists, within the world. The God whom they had so imperfectly admitted, they could neither know nor fear; and therefore they could not be wise, since they wandered away indeed from the beginning of wisdom," that is, "the fear of God." Proofs are not wanting that among the philosophers there was not only an ignorance, but actual doubt, about the divinity. Diogenes, when asked what was taking place in heaven, answered by saying, "I have never been up there." Again, whether there were any gods, he replied, "I do not know; only there ought to be gods."808 When Crœsus inquired of Thales of Miletus what he thought of the gods, the latter having taken some time⁸⁰⁹ to consider, answered by the word "Nothing." Even Socrates denied with an air of certainty⁸¹⁰ those gods of yours. 811 Yet he with a like certainty requested that a cock should be sacrificed to Æsculapius. And therefore when philosophy, in its practice of defining about God, is detected in such uncertainty and inconsistency, what "fear" could it possibly have had of Him whom it was not competent812 clearly to determine? We have been taught to believe of the world that it is god.813 For such the physical class of theologizers conclude it to be, since they have handed down such views about the gods that Dionysius the Stoic divides them into three kinds. The first, he supposes, includes those gods which are most obvious, as the Sun, Moon, and Stars; the next, those which are not apparent, as Neptune; the remaining one, those which are said to have passed from the human state to the divine, as Hercules and Amphiaraus. In like manner, Arcesilaus makes a threefold form of the divinity—the Olympian, the Astral, the Titanian—sprung from Cœlus and Terra; from which



```
Nutat.
```

Passivæ fidei.

⁸⁰⁵ Solummodo.

Otiosum.

[&]quot;A nobody."

Nisi ut sint expedire.

⁸⁰⁹ Aliquot commeatus.

⁸¹⁰ Ouasi certus.

Istos deos.

Non tenebat.

De mundo deo didicimus.

through Saturn and Ops came Neptune, Jupiter, and Orcus, and their entire progeny. Xenocrates, of the Academy, makes a twofold division—the Olympian and the Titanian, which descend from Cœlus and Terra. Most of the Egyptians believe that there are four gods—the Sun and the Moon, the Heaven and the Earth. Along with all the supernal fire Democritus conjectures that the gods arose. Zeno, too, will have it that their nature resembles it. Whence Varro also makes fire to be the soul of the world, that in the world fire governs all things, just as the soul does in ourselves. But all this is most absurd. For he says, Whilst it is in us, we have existence; but as soon as it has left us, we die. Therefore, when fire quits the world in lightning, the world comes to its end.

Chapter III.—The Physical Philosophers Maintained the Divinity of the Elements; The Absurdity of the Tenet Exposed.

From these developments of opinion, we see that your⁸¹⁴ physical class *of philosophers* are driven to the necessity of contending that the elements are gods, since it alleges that other gods are sprung from them; for it is only from gods that gods could be born. Now, although we shall have to examine these other gods more fully in the proper place, in the mythic section of the poets, yet, inasmuch as we must meanwhile treat of them in their connection with the present class, ⁸¹⁵ we shall probably even from their present class, ⁸¹⁶ when once we turn to the gods themselves, succeed in showing that they can by no means appear to be gods who are said to be sprung from the elements; so that we have at once a presumption⁸¹⁷ that the elements are not gods, since they which are born of the elements are not gods. In like manner, whilst we show that the elements are not gods, we shall, according to the law of natural relationship, ⁸¹⁸ get a presumptive argument that they cannot rightly be maintained to be gods whose parents (in this case the elements) are not gods. It is a settled point ⁸¹⁹ that a god is born of a god, and that what lacks divinity ⁸²⁰ is born of what is not divine. Now, so far as ⁸²¹ the world of which your philosophers treat ⁸²² (for I apply this term to the *universe*

```
814 Istud.
```

Ad præsentem speciem, the *physical* class.

Or, classification.

Ut jam hinc præjudicatum sit.

Ad illam agnatorum speciem.

Scitum.

Non-deum.

[&]quot;Quod," with a subj. mood.

Mundus iste.

in the most comprehensive sense⁸²³) contains the elements, ministering to them as its component parts (for whatever its own condition may be, the same of course will be that of its elements and constituent portions), it must needs have been formed either by some being, according to the enlightened view⁸²⁴ of Plato, or else by none, according to the harsh opinion⁸²⁵ of Epicurus; and since it was formed, by having a beginning, it must also have an end. That, therefore, which at one time before its beginning had no existence, and will by and by after its end cease to have an existence, cannot of course, by any possibility, seem to be a god, wanting as it does that essential character of divinity, eternity, which is reckoned to be826 without beginning, and without end. If, however, it⁸²⁷ is in no wise formed, and therefore ought to be accounted divine—since, as divine, it is subject neither to a beginning nor an end of itself—how is it that some assign generation to the elements, which they hold to be gods, when the Stoics deny that anything can be born of a god? Likewise, how is it that they wish those beings, whom they suppose to be born of the elements, to be regarded as gods, when they deny that a god can be born? Now, what must hold good of the universe⁸²⁸ will have to be predicated of the elements, I mean of heaven, and of earth, and of the stars, and of fire, which Varro has vainly proposed that you should believe⁸²⁹ to be gods, and the parents of gods, contrary to that generation and nativity which he had declared to be impossible in a god. Now this same Varro had shown that the earth and the stars were animated. 830 But if this be the case, they must needs be also mortal, according to the condition831 of animated nature; for although the soul is evidently immortal, this attribute is limited to it alone: it is not extended to that with which it is associated, that is, the body. Nobody, however, will deny that the elements have body, since we both touch them and are touched by them, and we see certain bodies fall down from them. If, therefore, they are animated, laying aside the principle⁸³² of a soul, as befits their condition as bodies, they are mortal—of course not immortal. And yet whence is it that the elements appear to Varro to be animated? Because, forsooth, the elements have motion. And then, in order to anticipate what may be objected on the other side, that many things else have motion—as wheels, as carriages, as several other machines—he volunteers the statement that he believes only such things to be animated as move of themselves, without any apparent mover or impeller from without, like the apparent



```
823 Summaliter.
```

⁸²⁴ Humanitas.

⁸²⁵ Duritia.

⁸²⁶ Censetur.

i.e., "iste mundus."

Mundi, i.e., the universe; see above.

The best reading is "vobis credi;" this is one of Tertullian's "final infinitives."

Compare Augustine, de Civit. Dei, vii. 6, 23, 24, 28.

Formam.

Ratione.

mover of the wheel, or propeller of the carriage, or director of the machine. If, then, they are not animated, they have no motion of themselves. Now, when he thus alleges a power which is not apparent, he points to what it was his duty to seek after, even the creator and controller of the motion; for it does not at once follow that, because we do not see a thing, we believe that it does not exist. Rather, it is necessary the more profoundly to investigate what one does not see, in order the better to understand the character of that which is apparent. Besides if (you admit) only the existence of those things which appear and are supposed to exist simply because they appear, how is it that you also admit them to be gods which do not appear? If, moreover, those things seem to have existence which have none, why may they not have existence also which do not seem to have it? Such, for instance, as the Mover⁸³³ of the heavenly beings. Granted, then, that things are animated because they move of themselves, and that they move of themselves when they are not moved by another: still it does not follow that they must straightway be gods, because they are animated, nor even because they move of themselves; else what is to prevent all animals whatever being accounted gods, moving as they do of themselves? This, to be sure, is allowed to the Egyptians, but their *superstitious* vanity has another basis.⁸³⁴

Chapter IV.—Wrong Derivation of the Word Θεός. The Name Indicative of the True Deity. God Without Shape and Immaterial. Anecdote of Thales.

Some affirm that the gods (*i.e.* $\theta = \infty i$) were so called because the verbs $\theta \neq \infty i$ and $\theta = \infty i$ signify to run and to be moved. This term, then, is not indicative of any majesty, for it is derived from running and motion, not from any dominion of godhead. But inasmuch as the Supreme God whom we worship is also designated $\theta = \infty i$, without however the appearance of any course or motion in Him, because He is not visible to any one, it is clear that that word must have had some other derivation, and that the property of divinity, innate in Himself, must have been discovered. Dismissing, then, that ingenious interpretation, it is more likely that the gods were not called $\theta = \infty i$ from running and motion, but that the term was borrowed from the designation of the true God; so that you gave the name $\theta = \infty i$ to the gods, whom you had in like manner forged for yourselves. Now, that this is the case, a plain proof is afforded in the fact that you actually give the common appellation $\theta = \infty i$ to all those gods of yours, in whom there is no attribute of course or motion indicated. When,

⁸³³ Motatorem.

Alia sane vanitate.

This seems to mean: "because θέειν has also the sense of σείεσθαι (motion as well as progression)."

[&]quot;Dominatione" is Oehler's reading, but he approves of "denominatione" (Rigault's reading); this would signify "designation of godhead."

therefore, you call them both $\theta \epsilon o i$ and immoveable with equal readiness, there is a deviation as well from the meaning of the word as from the idea⁸³⁷ of godhead, which is set aside⁸³⁸ if measured by the notion of *course* and *motion*. But if that *sacred* name be peculiarly significant of deity, and be simply true and not of a forced interpretation⁸³⁹ in the case of the true God, but transferred in a borrowed sense⁸⁴⁰ to those other objects which you choose to call gods, then you ought to show to us⁸⁴¹ that there is also a community of character between them, so that their common designation may rightly depend on their union of essence. But the true God, on the sole ground that He is not an object of sense, is incapable of being compared with those false deities which are cognizable to sight and sense (to sense indeed is sufficient); for this amounts to a clear statement of the difference between an obscure proof and a manifest one. Now, since the elements are obvious to all, (and) since God, on the contrary, is visible to none, how will it be in your power from that part which you have not seen to pass to a decision on the objects which you see? Since, therefore, you have not to combine them in your perception or your reason, why do you combine them in name with the purpose of combining them also in power? For see how even Zeno separates the matter of the world from God: he says that the latter has percolated through the former, like honey through the comb. God, therefore, and Matter are two words (and) two things. Proportioned to the difference of the words is the diversity of the things; the condition also of matter follows its designation. Now if matter is not God, because its very appellation teaches us so, how can those things which are inherent in matter—that is, the elements—be regarded as gods, since the component members cannot possibly be heterogeneous from the body? But what concern have I with physiological conceits? It were better for one's mind to ascend above the state of the world, not to stoop down to uncertain speculations. Plato's form for the world was round. Its square, angular shape, such as others had conceived it to be, he rounded off, I suppose, with compasses, from his labouring to have it believed to be simply without a beginning.⁸⁴² Epicurus, however, who had said, "What is above us is nothing to us," wished notwithstanding to have a peep at the sky, and found the sun to be a foot in diameter. Thus far you must confess⁸⁴³ men were niggardly in even celestial objects. In process of time their ambitious conceptions advanced, and so the sun too enlarged its disk.844 Accordingly, the Peripatetics marked it out as a larger world. 845 Now, pray tell me, what wisdom

```
133
```

```
Opinione.
```

Rescinditur.

⁸³⁹ Interpretatorium.

Reprehensum.

Docete.

Sine capite.

⁸⁴³ Scilicet.

Aciem.

Majorem orbem. Another reading has "majorem orbe," q.d. "as larger than the world."

is there in this hankering after conjectural speculations? What proof is afforded to us, notwithstanding the strong confidence of its assertions, by the useless affectation of a scrupulous curiosity, 846 which is tricked out with an artful show of language? It therefore served Thales of Miletus quite right, when, star-gazing as he walked with all the eyes he had, he had the mortification of falling 847 into a well, and was unmercifully twitted by an Egyptian, who said to him, "Is it because you found nothing on earth to look at, that you think you ought to confine your gaze to the sky?" His fall, therefore, is a figurative picture of the philosophers; of those, I mean, 848 who persist in applying 849 their studies to a vain purpose, since they indulge a stupid curiosity on natural objects, which they ought rather (intelligently to direct) to their Creator and Governor.

Chapter V.—The Physical Theory Continued. Further Reasons Advanced Against the Divinity of the Elements.

Why, then, do we not resort to that far more reasonable⁸⁵⁰ opinion, which has clear proof of being derived from men's common sense and unsophisticated deduction?⁸⁵¹ Even Varro bears it in mind, when he says that the elements are supposed to be divine, because nothing whatever is capable, without their concurrence,⁸⁵² of being produced, nourished, or applied to the sustenance⁸⁵³ of man's life and of the earth, since not even our bodies and souls could have sufficed in themselves without the modification⁸⁵⁴ of the elements. By this it is that the world is made generally habitable,—a result which is harmoniously secured⁸⁵⁵ by the distribution into zones,⁸⁵⁶ except where human residence has been rendered impracticable by intensity of cold or heat. On this account, men have accounted as gods—the sun, because it imparts from itself the light of day, ripens the fruit with its warmth, and measures the year with its stated periods; the moon, which is at once the solace of the night and the controller of the months by its governance; the stars also, certain indications as they

```
Morositatis.
```

⁸⁴⁷ Cecidit turpiter.

⁸⁴⁸ Scilicet.

Habituros.

Humaniorem.

⁸⁵¹ Conjectura.

⁸⁵² Suffragio.

⁸⁵³ Sationem.

Temperamento.

Fæderata.

⁸⁵⁶ Circulorum conditionibus.

are of those seasons which are to be observed in the tillage of our fields; lastly, the very heaven also under which, and the earth over which, as well as the intermediate space within which, all things conspire together for the good of man. Nor is it from their beneficent influences only that a faith in their divinity has been deemed compatible with the elements, but from their opposite qualities also, such as usually happen from what one might call⁸⁵⁷ their wrath and anger—as thunder, and hail, and drought, and pestilential winds, floods also, and openings of the ground, and earthquakes: these are all fairly enough⁸⁵⁸ accounted gods, whether their nature becomes the object of reverence as being favourable, or of fear because terrible—the sovereign dispenser, 859 in fact, 860 both of help and of hurt. But in the practical conduct of social life, this is the way in which men act and feel: they do not show gratitude or find fault with the very things from which the succour or the injury proceeds, so much as with them by whose strength and power the operation of the things is effected. For even in your amusements you do not award the crown as a prize to the flute or the harp, but to the musician who manages the said flute or harp by the power of his delightful skill.861 In like manner, when one is in ill-health, you do not bestow your acknowledgments on the flannel wraps, 862 or the medicines, or the poultices, but on the doctors by whose care and prudence the remedies become effectual. So again, in untoward events, they who are wounded with the sword do not charge the injury on the sword or the spear, but on the enemy or the robber; whilst those whom a falling house covers do not blame the tiles or the stones, but the oldness of the building; as again shipwrecked sailors impute their calamity not to the rocks and waves, but to the tempest. And rightly too; for it is certain that everything which happens must be ascribed not to the instrument with which, but to the agent by whom, it takes place; inasmuch as he is the prime cause of the occurrence, 863 who appoints both the event itself and that by whose instrumentality it comes to pass (as there are in all things these three particular elements—the fact itself, its instrument, and its cause), because he himself who wills the occurrence of a thing comes into notice⁸⁶⁴ prior to the thing which he wills, or the instrument by which it occurs. On all other occasions therefore, your conduct is right enough, because you consider the author; but in physical phenomena your rule is opposed to that natural principle which prompts you to a wise judgment in all other cases, removing out of sight as you do the supreme position of the author, and considering rather the things that happen, than him by whom they happen. Thus it comes to pass that you suppose the



- Tanquam.
- Jure.
- 859 Domina.
- 860 Scilicet.
- Vi suavitatis.
- 862 Lanis.
- 863 Caput facti.
- 864 Invenitur.

power and the dominion to belong to the elements, which are but the slaves and functionaries. Now do we not, in thus tracing out an artificer and master within, expose the artful structure of their slavery⁸⁶⁵ out of the appointed functions of those elements to which you ascribe (the attributes) of power?866 But gods are not slaves; therefore whatever things are servile in character are not gods. Otherwise⁸⁶⁷ they should prove to us that, according to the ordinary course of things, liberty is promoted by irregular licence, 868 despotism by liberty, and that by despotism divine power is meant. For if all the (heavenly bodies) overhead forget not⁸⁶⁹ to fulfil their courses in certain orbits, in regular seasons, at proper distances, and at equal intervals—appointed in the way of a law for the revolutions of time, and for directing the guidance thereof—can it fail to result⁸⁷⁰ from the very observance of their conditions and the fidelity of their operations, that you will be convinced both by the recurrence of their orbital courses and the accuracy of their mutations, when you bear in mind how ceaseless is their recurrence, that a governing power presides over them, to which the entire management of the world⁸⁷¹ is obedient, reaching even to the utility and injury of the human race? For you cannot pretend that these (phenomena) act and care for themselves alone, without contributing anything to the advantage of mankind, when you maintain that the elements are divine for no other reason than that you experience from them either benefit or injury to yourself. For if they benefit themselves only, you are under no obligation to them.

Chapter VI.—The Changes of the Heavenly Bodies, Proof that They are Not Divine. Transition from the Physical to the Mythic Class of Gods.

Come now, do you allow that the Divine Being not only has nothing servile in His course, but exists in unimpaired integrity, and ought not to be diminished, or suspended, or destroyed? Well, then, all His blessedness⁸⁷² would disappear, if He were ever subject to change. Look, however, at the stellar bodies; they both undergo change, and give clear evidence of the fact. The moon tells

⁸⁶⁵ Servitutis artem. "Artem" Oehler explains by "artificiose institutum."

We subjoin Oehler's text of this obscure sentence: "Non in ista investigatione alicujus artificis intus et domini servitutis artem ostendimus elementorum certis ex operis" (for "operibis," not unusual in Tertullian) "eorum quas facis potestatis?"

⁸⁶⁷ Aut.

De licentia passivitatis libertas approbetur.

Meminerunt.

Num non.

Universa negotiatio mundialis.

Felicitas.

accustomed to measure in a mirror of water;874 so that I need not any longer believe in any wise what magians have asserted. The sun, too, is frequently put to the trial of an eclipse. Explain as best you may the modes of these celestial casualties, it is impossible⁸⁷⁵ for God either to become less or to cease to exist. Vain, therefore, are 876 those supports of human learning, which, by their artful method of weaving conjectures, belie both wisdom and truth. Besides, 877 it so happens, indeed, according to your natural way of thinking, that he who has spoken the best is supposed to have spoken most truly, instead of him who has spoken the truth being held to have spoken the best. Now the man who shall carefully look into things, will surely allow it to be a greater probability that those⁸⁷⁸ elements which we have been discussing are under some rule and direction, than that they have a motion of their own, and that being under government they cannot be gods. If, however, one is in error in this matter, it is better to err simply than speculatively, like your physical philosophers. But, at the same time, 879 if you consider the character of the *mythic* school, (and compare it with the *physical*,) the error which we have already seen frail men⁸⁸⁰ making in the latter is really the more respectable one, since it ascribes a divine nature to those things which it supposes to be superhuman in their sensibility, whether in respect of their position, their power, their magnitude, or their divinity. For that which you suppose to be higher than man, you believe to be

us how great has been its loss, as it recovers its full form;873 its greater losses you are already



Chapter VII.—The Gods of the Mythic Class. The Poets a Very Poor Authority in Such Matters. Homer and the Mythic Poets. Why Irreligious.

But to pass to the *mythic* class of gods, which we attributed to the poets, ⁸⁸¹ I hardly know whether I must only seek to put them on a par with our own *human* mediocrity, or whether they must be affirmed to be gods, with proofs of divinity, like the African Mopsus and the Bœotian Amphiaraus. I must now indeed but slightly touch on this class, of which a fuller view will be taken in the proper

```
These are the moon's monthly changes.
```

very near to God.

Tertullian refers to the Magian method of watching eclipses, the ἐνοπτρομαντεία.

Instead of "non valet," there is the reading "non volet," "God would not consent," etc.

Viderint igitur "Let them look to themselves," "never mind them."

⁸⁷⁷ Alias.

⁸⁷⁸ Ista.

⁸⁷⁹ Sedenim.

Mortalitas.

⁸⁸¹ See above, c. i. [Note 19, p. 129.]

place.882 Meanwhile, that these were only human beings, is clear from the fact that you do not consistently call them gods, but heroes. Why then discuss the point? Although divine honours had to be ascribed to dead men, it was not to them as such, of course. Look at your own practice, when with similar excess of presumption you sully heaven with the sepulchres of your kings: is it not such as are illustrious for justice, virtue, piety, and every excellence of this sort, that you honour with the blessedness of deification, contented even to incur contempt if you forswear yourselves⁸⁸³ for such characters? And, on the other hand, do you not deprive the impious and disgraceful of even the old prizes of human glory, tear up884 their decrees and titles, pull down their statues, and deface⁸⁸⁵ their images on the current coin? Will He, however, who beholds all things, who approves, nay, rewards the good, prostitute before all men⁸⁸⁶ the attribute of His own inexhaustible grace and mercy? And shall men be allowed an especial mount of care and righteousness, that they may be wise⁸⁸⁷ in selecting and multiplying⁸⁸⁸ their deities? Shall attendants on kings and princes be more pure than those who wait on the Supreme God?889 You turn your back in horror, indeed, on outcasts and exiles, on the poor and weak, on the obscurely born and the low-lived;890 but yet you honour, even by legal sanctions, 891 unchaste men, adulterers, robbers, and parricides. Must we regard it as a subject of ridicule or indignation, that such characters are believed to be gods who are not fit to be men? Then, again, in this mythic class of yours which the poets celebrate, how uncertain is your conduct as to purity of conscience and the maintenance thereof! For whenever we hold up to execration the wretched, disgraceful and atrocious (examples) of your gods, you defend them as mere fables, on the pretence of poetic licence; whenever we volunteer a silent contempt⁸⁹² of this said⁸⁹³ poetic *licence*, then you are not only troubled with no horror of it, but you go so far as⁸⁹⁴ to show it respect, and to hold it as one of the indispensable (fine) arts; nay, 895 you carry out the studies

```
See The Apology, especially cc. xxii. and xxiii.
882
          Pejerantes.
883
          Lancinatis.
884
885
          Repercutitus.
          Vulgo.
886
          Sapere. The infinitive of purpose is frequent in our author.
887
888
          An allusion to Antinous, who is also referred to in The Apology, xiii. ["Court-page." See, p. 29, Supra.]
889
          Inhoneste institutos.
890
          By the "legibus" Tertullian refers to the divine honours ordered to be paid, by decrees of the Senate, to deceased emperors.
   Comp. Suetonius, Octav. 88; and Pliny, Paneg. 11 (Oehler).
892
          Ultro siletur.
          Ejusmodi.
893
          Insuper.
894
          Denique.
895
```



of your higher classes⁸⁹⁶ by its means, as the very foundation⁸⁹⁷ of your literature. Plato was of opinion that poets ought to be banished, as calumniators of the gods; (he would even have) Homer himself expelled from his republic, although, as you are aware, 898 he was the crowned head of them all. But while you admit and retain them thus, why should you not believe them when they disclose such things respecting your gods? And if you do believe your poets, how is it that you worship such gods (as they describe)? If you worship them simply because you do not believe the poets, why do you bestow praise on such lying authors, without any fear of giving offence to those whose calumniators you honour? A regard for truth⁸⁹⁹ is not, of course, to be expected of poets. But when you say that they only make men into gods after their death, do you not admit that before death the said gods were merely human? Now what is there strange in the fact, that they who were once men are subject to the dishonour of human casualties, or crimes, or fables? Do you not, in fact, put faith in your poets, when it is in accordance with their rhapsodies⁹⁰¹ that you have arranged in some instances your very rituals? How is it that the priestess of Ceres is ravished, if it is not because Ceres suffered a similar outrage? Why are the children of others sacrificed to Saturn, 902 if it is not because he spared not his own? Why is a male mutilated in honour of the Idæan goddess Cybele, unless it be that the (unhappy) youth who was too disdainful of her advances was castrated, owing to her vexation at his daring to cross her love?⁹⁰³ Why was not Hercules "a dainty dish" to the good ladies of Lanuvium, if it was not for the primeval offence which women gave to him? The poets, no doubt, are liars. Yet it is not because of their telling us that 904 your gods did such things when they were human beings, nor because they predicated divine scandals⁹⁰⁵ of a divine state, since it seemed to you more credible that gods should exist, though not of such a character, than that there should be such characters, although not gods.

Chapter VIII.—The Gods of the Different Nations. Varro's Gentile Class. Their Inferiority. A Good Deal of This Perverse Theology Taken from Scripture. Serapis a Perversion of Joseph.

```
896
          Ingenuitatis.
          Initiatricem.
897
898
          Sane.
          Fides.
900
          Polluuntur.
          Relationibus.
901
902
          Comp. The Apology, ix. [See, p. 25, Supra.]
          Comp. Minucius Felix, Octav. xxi.; Arnobius, adv. Nat. v. 6, 7; Augustine, Civ. Dei, vi. 7.
903
          This is the force of the subjunctive verb.
904
          By divine scandals, he means such as exceed in their atrocity even human scandals.
905
```

There remains the *gentile* class of gods amongst the several nations:⁹⁰⁶ these were adopted out of mere caprice, not from the knowledge of the truth; and our information about them comes from the private notions of different races. God, I imagine, is everywhere known, everywhere present, powerful everywhere—an object whom all ought to worship, all ought to serve. Since, then, it happens that even they, whom all the world worships in common, fail in the evidence of their true divinity, how much more must this befall those whom their very votaries⁹⁰⁷ have not succeeded in discovering! For what useful authority could possibly precede a theology of so defective a character as to be wholly unknown to fame? How many have either seen or heard of the Syrian Atargatis, the African Cœlestis, the Moorish Varsutina, the Arabian Obodas and Dusaris, or the Norican Belenus, or those whom Varro mentions—Deluentinus of Casinum, Visidianus of Narnia, Numiternus of Atina, or Ancharia of Asculum? And who have any clear notions⁹⁰⁸ of Nortia of Vulsinii?⁹⁰⁹ There is no difference in the worth of even their names, apart from the human surnames which distinguish them. I laugh often enough at the little coteries of gods⁹¹⁰ in each municipality, which have their honours confined within their own city walls. To what lengths this licence of adopting gods has been pushed, the superstitious practices of the Egyptians show us; for they worship even their native⁹¹¹ animals, such as cats, crocodiles, and their snake. It is therefore a small matter that they have also deified a man—him, I mean, whom not Egypt only, or Greece, but the whole world worships, and the Africans swear by; about whose state also all that helps our conjectures and imparts to our knowledge the semblance of truth is stated in our own (sacred) literature. For that Serapis of yours was originally one of our own saints called Joseph. 912 The youngest of his brethren, but superior to them in intellect, he was from envy sold into Egypt, and became a slave in the family of Pharaoh king of the country. 913 Importuned by the unchaste queen, when he refused to comply with her desire, she turned upon him and reported him to the king, by whom he is put into prison. There he displays the power of his divine inspiration, by interpreting aright the dreams of some (fellow-prisoners). Meanwhile the king, too, has some terrible dreams. Joseph being brought before him, according to his summons, was able to expound them. Having narrated the proofs of true interpretation which he had given in the prison, he opens out his dream to the king: those seven



```
906 See above, c. i. [p. 129.]
```

⁹⁰⁷ Municipes. "Their local worshippers or subjects."

⁹⁰⁸ Perceperint.

Literally, "Have men heard of any Nortia belonging to the Vulsinensians?"

Deos decuriones, in allusion to the *small provincial senates* which in the later times spread over the Roman colonies and *municipia*.

⁹¹¹ Privatas.

⁹¹² Compare Suidas, s. v. Σαράπις; Rufinus, *Hist. Eccl.* ii. 23. As Serapis was Joseph in disguise, so was Joseph a type of Christ, according to the ancient Christians, who were fond of subordinating heathen myths to Christian theology.

Tertullian is not the only writer who has made mistakes in citing from memory Scripture narratives. Comp. Arnobius.

fat-fleshed and well-favoured kine signified as many years of plenty; in like manner, the seven lean-fleshed animals predicted the scarcity of the seven following years. He accordingly recommends precautions to be taken against the future famine from the previous plenty. The king believed him. The issue of all that happened showed how wise he was, how invariably holy, and now how necessary. So Pharaoh set him over all Egypt, that he might secure the provision of corn for it, and thenceforth administer its government. They called him Serapis, from the turban⁹¹⁴ which adorned his head. The peck-like⁹¹⁵ shape of this turban marks the memory of his corn-provisioning; whilst evidence is given that the care of the supplies was all on his head, 916 by the very ears of corn which embellish the border of the head-dress. For the same reason, also, they made the sacred figure of a dog, 917 which they regard (as a sentry) in Hades, and put it under his right hand, because the care of the Egyptians was concentrated⁹¹⁸ under his hand. And they put at his side Pharia,⁹¹⁹ whose name shows her to have been the king's daughter. For in addition to all the rest of his kind gifts and rewards, Pharaoh had given him his own daughter in marriage. Since, however, they had begun to worship both wild animals and human beings, they combined both figures under one form Anubis, in which there may rather be seen clear proofs of its own character and condition enshrined⁹²⁰ by a nation at war with itself, refractory⁹²¹ to its kings, despised among foreigners, with even the appetite of a slave and the filthy nature of a dog.

Chapter IX.—The Power of Rome. Romanized Aspect of All the Heathen Mythology. Varro's Threefold Distribution Criticised. Roman Heroes (Æneas Included,) Unfavourably Reviewed.

Such are the more obvious or more remarkable points which we had to mention in connection with Varro's threefold distribution of the gods, in order that a sufficient answer might seem to be given touching the physical, the poetic, and the gentile classes. Since, however, it is no longer to the philosophers, nor the poets, nor the nations that we owe the substitution of all (heathen worship for the true religion) although they transmitted the superstition, but to the dominant Romans, who received the tradition and gave it wide authority, another phase of the widespread error of man must now be encountered by us; nay, another forest must be felled *by our axe*, which has obscured

```
914
          Suggestu.
          Modialis.
915
916
          Super caput esse, i.e., was entrusted to him.
          Canem dicaverunt.
917
918
          Compressa.
          Isis; comp. The Apology, xvi. [See p. 31, supra.]
919
          Consecrasse.
920
          Recontrans.
921
```

the childhood of the degenerate worship⁹²² with germs of superstitions gathered from all quarters. Well, but even the gods of the Romans have received from (the same) Varro a threefold classification into the certain, the uncertain, and the select. What absurdity! What need had they of uncertain gods, when they possessed certain ones? Unless, forsooth, they wished to commit themselves to⁹²³ such folly as the Athenians did; for at Athens there was an altar with this inscription: "To THE UNKNOWN GODS."924 Does, then, a man worship that which he knows nothing of? Then, again, as they had certain gods, they ought to have been contented with them, without requiring select ones. In this want they are even found to be irreligious! For if gods are selected as onions are,925 then such as are not chosen are declared to be worthless. Now we on our part allow that the Romans had two sets of gods, common and proper; in other words, those which they had in common with other nations, and those which they themselves devised. And were not these called the *public* and the foreign⁹²⁶ gods? Their altars tell us so; there is (a specimen) of the foreign gods at the fane of Carna, of the public gods in the Palatium. Now, since their common gods are comprehended in both the physical and the mythic classes, we have already said enough concerning them. I should like to speak of their particular kinds of deity. We ought then to admire the Romans for that third set of the gods of their enemies, 927 because no other nation ever discovered for itself so large a mass of superstition. Their other deities we arrange in two classes: those which have become gods from human beings, and those which have had their origin in some other way. Now, since there is advanced the same colourable pretext for the deification of the dead, that their lives were meritorious, we are compelled to urge the same reply against them, that no one of them was worth so much pains. Their fond⁹²⁸ father Æneas, in whom they believed, was never glorious, and was felled with a stone⁹²⁹—a vulgar weapon, to pelt a dog withal, inflicting a wound no less ignoble! But this Æneas turns out⁹³⁰ a traitor to his country; yes, quite as much as Antenor. And if they will not believe this to be true of him, he at any rate deserted his companions when his country was in flames, and must be held inferior to that woman of Carthage, 931 who, when her husband Hasdrubal supplicated the enemy with the mild pusillanimity of our Æneas, refused to accompany him, but hurrying her



```
922 Vitii pueritatem.
```

⁹²³ Recipere (with a dative).

⁹²⁴ IGNOTIS DEIS. Comp. Acts xvii. 23.

Ut bulbi. This is the passage which Augustine quotes (de Civit. Dei, vii. 1) as "too facetious."

⁹²⁶ Adventicii, "coming from abroad."

Touching these gods of the vanquished nations, compare *The Apology*, xxv.; below, c. xvii.; Minucius Felix, *Octav*. xxv.

⁹²⁸ Diligentem.

⁹²⁹ See Homer, *Il*. v. 300.

⁹³⁰ Invenitur.

Referred to also above, i. 18.

children along with her, disdained to take her beautiful self and father's noble heart932 into exile, but plunged into the flames of the burning Carthage, as if rushing into the embraces of her (dear but) ruined country. Is he "pious Æneas" for (rescuing) his young only son and decrepit old father, but deserting Priam and Astyanax? But the Romans ought rather to detest him; for in defence of their princes and their royal⁹³³ house, they surrender⁹³⁴ even children and wives, and every dearest pledge. 935 They deify the son of Venus, and this with the full knowledge and consent of her husband Vulcan, and without opposition from even Juno. Now, if sons have seats in heaven owing to their piety to their parents, why are not those noble youths⁹³⁶ of Argos rather accounted gods, because they, to save their mother from guilt in the performance of some sacred rites, with a devotion more than human, yoked themselves to her car and dragged her to the temple? Why not make a goddess, for her exceeding piety, of that daughter⁹³⁷ who from her own breasts nourished her father who was famishing in prison? What other glorious achievement can be related of Æneas, but that he was nowhere seen in the fight on the field of Laurentum? Following his bent, perhaps he fled a second time as a fugitive from the battle. 938 In like manner, Romulus posthumously becomes a god. Was it because he founded the city? Then why not others also, who have built cities, counting even 939 women? To be sure, Romulus slew his brother in the bargain, and trickishly ravished some foreign virgins. Therefore of course he becomes a god, and therefore a Quirinus ("god of the spear"), because then their fathers had to use the spear⁹⁴⁰ on his account. What did Sterculus do to merit deification? If he worked hard to enrich the fields stercoribus, 941 (with manure,) Augias had more dung than he to bestow on them. If Faunus, the son of Picus, used to do violence to law and right, because struck with madness, it was more fit that he should be doctored than deified. 942 If the daughter of Faunus so excelled in chastity, that she would hold no conversation with men, it was perhaps from rudeness, or a consciousness of deformity, or shame for her father's insanity. How much worthier of divine honour than this "good goddess" was Penelope, who, although dwelling

```
The obscure "formam et patrem" is by Oehler rendered "pulchritudinem et generis nobilitatem."
932
          The word is "eorum" (possessive of "principum"), not "suæ."
933
          Dejerant adversus.
934
          What Tertullian himself thinks on this point, see his de Corona, xi.
935
          Cleobis and Biton; see Herodotus i. 31.
          See Valerius Maximus, v. 4, 1.
937
          We need not stay to point out the unfairness of this statement, in contrast with the exploits of Æneas against Turnus, as
938
   detailed in the last books of the Æneid.
          Usque in.
939
940
          We have thus rendered "quiritatem est," to preserve as far as one could the pun on the deified hero of the Quirites.
          We insert the Latin, to show the pun on Sterculus; see The Apology, c. xxv. [See p. 40, supra.]
941
```

Bona Dea, i.e., the daughter of Faunus just mentioned.

among so many suitors of the vilest character, preserved with delicate tact the purity which they assailed! There is Sanctus, too, ⁹⁴⁴ who for his hospitality had a temple consecrated to him by king Plotius; and even Ulysses had it in his power to have bestowed one more god upon you in the person of the most refined Alcinous.

Chapter X.—A Disgraceful Feature of the Roman Mythology. It Honours Such Infamous Characters as Larentina.

I hasten to even more abominable cases. Your writers have not been ashamed to publish that of Larentina. She was a hired prostitute, whether as the nurse of Romulus, and therefore called Lupa, because she was a prostitute, or as the mistress of Hercules, now deceased, that is to say, now deified. They⁹⁴⁵ relate that his temple-warder⁹⁴⁶ happened to be playing at dice in the temple alone; and in order to represent a partner for himself in the game, in the absence of an actual one, he began to play with one hand for Hercules and the other for himself. (The condition was,) that if he won the stakes from Hercules, he should with them procure a supper and a prostitute; if Hercules, however, proved the winner, I mean his other hand, then he should provide the same for Hercules. The hand of Hercules won. That achievement might well have been added to his twelve labours! The temple-warden buys a supper for the hero, and hires Larentina to play the whore. The fire which dissolved the body of even a Hercules⁹⁴⁷ enjoyed the supper, and the altar consumed everything. Larentina sleeps alone in the temple; and she a woman from the brothel, boasts that in her dreams she had submitted herself to the pleasure of Hercules;⁹⁴⁸ and she might possibly have experienced this, as it passed through her mind, in her sleep. In the morning, on going out of the temple very early, she is solicited by a young man—"a third Hercules," so to speak. 949 He invites her home. She complies, remembering that Hercules had told her that it would be for her advantage. He then, to be sure, obtains permission that they should be united in lawful wedlock (for none was allowed to have intercourse with the concubine of a god without being punished for it); the husband makes her his heir. By and by, just before her death, she bequeathed to the Roman people the rather large estate which she had obtained through Hercules. After this she sought deification for her daughters too, whom indeed the divine Larentina ought to have appointed her heirs also. The gods of the Romans received an accession in her dignity. For she alone of all the wives of Hercules was



See Livy, viii. 20, xxxii. 1; Ovid, Fasti, vi. 213, etc. Compare also Augustine, de Civ. Dei, xviii. 19. [Tom, vii. p. 576.]

Compare Augustine, de Civ. Dei, vi. 7. [Tom. vii. p. 184.]

⁹⁴⁶ Æditum ejus.

That is, when he mounted the pyre.

Herculi functam. "Fungi alicui" means to satisfy, or yield to.

The well-known Greek saying, "Αλλος οὖτος Ἡρακλῆς.

dear to him, because she alone was rich; and she was even far more fortunate than Ceres, who contributed to the pleasure of the (king of the) dead. After so many examples and *eminent* names among you, who might not have been declared divine? Who, in fact, ever raised a question as to his divinity against Antinous? Was even Ganymede more grateful and dear than he to (the supreme god) who loved him? According to you, heaven is open to the dead. You prepare a way from Hades to the stars. Prostitutes mount it in all directions, so that you must not suppose that you are conferring a great distinction upon your kings.

Chapter XI.—The Romans Provided Gods for Birth, Nay, Even Before Birth, to Death. Much Indelicacy in This System.

And you are not content to assert the divinity of such as were once known to you, whom you heard and handled, and whose portraits have been painted, and actions recounted, and memory retained amongst you; but men insist upon consecrating with a heavenly life⁹⁵³ I know not what incorporeal, inanimate shadows, and the *mere* names of things—dividing man's entire existence amongst separate powers even from his conception in the womb: so that there is a god Consevius,⁹⁵⁴ to preside over concubital generation; and Fluviona,⁹⁵⁵ to preserve the (growth of the) infant in the womb; after these come Vitumnus and Sentinus,⁹⁵⁶ through whom the babe begins to have life and its earliest sensation; then Diespiter,⁹⁵⁷ by whose office the child accomplishes its birth. But when women begin their parturition, Candelifera also *comes in* aid, since childbearing requires the light of the candle; and other goddesses there are⁹⁵⁸ who get their names from the parts they bear in the stages of travail. There were two Carmentas likewise, according to the general view: to one of them, called Postverta, belonged the function of assisting the birth of the introverted child; while

Pluto; Proserpine, the daughter of Ceres, is meant. Oehler once preferred to read, "Hebe, quæ mortuo placuit," i.e., "than Hebe, who gratified Hercules after death."

Tertullian often refers indignantly to this atrocious case.

⁹⁵² Subigitis.

⁹⁵³ Efflagitant cœlo et sanciunt, (i.e., "they insist on deifying.")

⁹⁵⁴ Comp. Augustine, de Civ. Dei, vi. 9.

A name of Juno, in reference to her office to mothers, "quia eam sanguinis fluorem in conceptu retinere putabant." Comp. August. de Civ. Dei, iii. 2.

⁹⁵⁶ Comp. August. de Civ. Dei, vii. 2, 3.

⁹⁵⁷ Comp. August. de Civ. Dei, iv. 11.

⁹⁵⁸ Such as Lucina, Partula, Nona, Decima, Alemona.

the other, Prosa, 959 executed the like office for the rightly born. The god Farinus was so called from (his inspiring) the first utterance; while others believed in Locutius from his gift of speech. Cunina⁹⁶⁰ is present as the protector of the child's deep slumber, and supplies to it refreshing rest. To lift them (when fallen)⁹⁶¹ there is Levana, and along with her Rumina.⁹⁶² It is a wonderful oversight that no gods were appointed for cleaning up the filth of children. Then, to preside over their first pap and earliest drink you have Potina and Edula; 963 to teach the child to stand erect is the work of Statina, 964 whilst Adeona helps him to come to dear Mamma, and Abeona to toddle off again; then there is Domiduca, 965 (to bring home the bride;) and the goddess Mens, to influence the mind to either good or evil. 966 They have likewise Volumnus and Voleta, 967 to control the will; Paventina, (the goddess) of fear; Venilia, of hope; 968 Volupia, of pleasure; 969 Præstitia, of beauty. 970 Then, again, they give his name to Peragenor, 971 from his teaching men to go through their work; to Consus, from his sug gesting to them counsel. Juventa is their guide on assuming the manly gown, and "bearded Fortune" when they come to full manhood.⁹⁷² If I must touch on their nuptial duties, there is Afferenda whose appointed function is to see to the offering of the dower; but fie on you! you have your Mutunus⁹⁷³ and Tutunus and Pertunda⁹⁷⁴ and Subigus and the goddess Prema and likewise Perfica.⁹⁷⁵ O spare yourselves, ye impudent gods! No one is present at the secret struggles of married life. Those very few persons who have a wish that way, go away and blush for very shame in the midst of their joy.



975

```
959
          Or, Prorsa.
          "Quæ infantes in cunis (in their cradle) tuetur." Comp. August. de Civ. Dei, iv. 11.
960
          Educatrix; Augustine says: "Ipse levet de terra et vocetur dea Levana" (de Civ. Dei, iv. 11).
961
          From the old word ruma, a teat.
962
          Comp. August. de Civ. Dei, iv. 9, 11, 36.
963
          See also Tertullian's de Anima, xxxix.; and Augustine's de Civ. Dei, iv. 21, where the god has the masculine name of
964
   Statilinus.
965
          See Augustine, de Civ. Dei, vi. 9 and vii. 3.
          Ibid. iv. 21, vii. 3.
966
          Ibid. iv. 21.
967
          Ibid. iv. 11, vii. 22.
969
          Ibid. iv. 11. [N.B.—Augustine's borrowing from our author.]
          Arnobius, adv. Nationes, iv. 3.
970
971
          Augustine, de Civ. Dei. [iv. 11 and 16] mentions Agenoria.
          On Fortuna Barbata, see Augustine, de Civ. Dei, iv. 11, where he also names Consus and Juventa.
972
973
          Tertullian, in Apol. xxv. sarcastically says, "Sterculus, and Mutunus, and Larentina, have raised the empire to its present
   height."
          Arnobius, adv. Nationes, iv. 7, 11; August. de Civ. Dei, vi. 9.
974
```

For these three gods, see Augustine, de Civ. Dei, vi. 9; and Arnobius, adv. Nationes, iv. 7.

Chapter XII. 976—The Original Deities Were Human—With Some Very Questionable Characteristics. Saturn or Time Was Human. Inconsistencies of Opinion About Him.

Now, how much further need I go in recounting your gods—because I want to descant on the character of such as you have adopted? It is quite uncertain whether I shall laugh at your absurdity, or upbraid you for your blindness. For how many, and indeed what, gods shall I bring forward? Shall it be the greater ones, or the lesser? The old ones, or the novel? The male, or the female? The unmarried, or such as are joined in wedlock? The clever, or the unskilful? The rustic or the town ones? The national or the foreign? For the truth is, 977 there are so many families, so many nations, which require a catalogue⁹⁷⁸ (of gods), that they cannot possibly be examined, or distinguished, or described. But the more diffuse the subject is, the more restriction must we impose on it. As, therefore, in this review we keep before us but one object—that of proving that all these gods were once human beings (not, indeed, to instruct you in the fact, ⁹⁷⁹ for your conduct shows that you have forgotten it)—let us adopt our compendious summary from the most natural method⁹⁸⁰ of conducting the examination, even by considering the origin of their race. For the origin characterizes all that comes after it. Now this origin of your gods dates, 981 I suppose, from Saturn. And when Varro mentions Jupiter, Juno, and Minerva, as the most ancient of the gods, it ought not to have escaped our notice, that every father is more ancient than his sons, and that Saturn therefore must precede Jupiter, even as Cœlus does Saturn, for Saturn was sprung from Cœlus and Terra. I pass by, however, the origin of Cœlus and Terra. They led in some unaccountable way982 single lives, and had no children. Of course they required a long time for vigorous growth to attain to such a stature. 983 By and by, as soon as the voice of Cœlus began to break, 984 and the breasts of Terra to become firm, 985 they contract marriage with one another. I suppose either Heaven⁹⁸⁶ came down to his spouse, or Earth went up to meet her lord. Be that as it may, Earth conceived seed of Heaven, and when her year was fulfilled brought forth Saturn in a wonderful manner. Which of his parents did he resemble?

```
Agrees with The Apology, c. x.
976
          Bona fide.
977
978
          There is here an omitted clause, supplied in The Apology, "but rather to recall it to your memory."
979
          Ab ipsa ratione.
980
981
          Signatur.
          Undeunde.
982
983
          Tantam proceritatem.
          Insolescere, i.e., at the commencement of puberty.
984
          Lapilliscere, i.e., to indicate maturity.
985
          The nominative "cœlum" is used.
986
```

and only one daughter afterwards—Ops; thenceforth they ceased to procreate. The truth is, Saturn castrated Cœlus as he was sleeping. We read this name Cœlus as of the masculine gender. And for the matter of that, how could he be a father unless he were a male? But with what instrument was the castration effected? He had a scythe. What, so early as that? For Vulcan was not yet an artificer in iron. The widowed Terra, however, although still quite young, was in no hurry 989 to marry another. Indeed, there was no second Cœlus for her. What but Ocean offers her an embrace? But he savours of brackishness, and she has been accustomed to fresh water. 990 And so Saturn is the sole male child of Cœlus and Terra. When grown to puberty, he marries his own sister. No laws as yet prohibited incest, nor punished parricide. Then, when male children were born to him, he would devour them; better himself (should take them) than the wolves, (for to these would they become a prey) if he exposed them. He was, no doubt, afraid that one of them might learn the lesson of his father's scythe. When Jupiter was born in course of time, he was removed out of the way:⁹⁹¹ (the father) swallowed a stone instead of the son, as was pretended. This artifice secured his safety for a time; but at length the son, whom he had not devoured, and who had grown up in secret, fell upon him, and deprived him of his kingdom. Such, then, is the patriarch of the gods whom Heaven⁹⁹² and Earth produced for you, with the poets officiating as midwives. Now some persons with a refined 993 imagination are of opinion that, by this allegorical fable of Saturn, there is a physiological representation of *Time*: (they think) that it is because all things are destroyed by Time, that Cœlus and Terra were themselves parents without having any of their own, and that the (fatal) scythe was used, and that (Saturn) devoured his own offspring, because he, 994 in fact, absorbs within himself all things which have issued from him. They call in also the witness of his name; for they say that he is called Κρόνος in Greek, meaning the same thing as χρόνος. 995 His Latin name also they derive from seed-sowing; 996 for they suppose him to have been the actual procreator—that the seed, in fact, was dropt down from heaven to earth by his means. They unite him with Ops, because seeds

Well, then, even after parentage began, 987 it is certain 988 that they had no child previous to Saturn,

produce the affluent treasure (*Opem*) of actual life, and because they develope with labour (*Opus*).

¹⁴¹

⁹⁸⁷ It is not very clear what is the force of "sed et pepererit," as read by Oehler; we have given the clause an impersonal turn.

[&]quot;Certe" is sometime "certo" in our author.

⁹⁸⁹ Distulit.

⁹⁹⁰ That is, to rain and cloud.

⁹⁹¹ Abalienato.

The word is "cœlum" here.

⁹⁹³ Eleganter.

i.e., as representing *Time*.

⁹⁹⁵ So Augustine, de Civ. Dei, iv. 10; Arnobius, adv. Nationes, iii. 29; Cicero, de Nat. Deor. ii. 25.

⁹⁹⁶ As if from "sero," satum.

Now I wish that you would explain this metaphorical⁹⁹⁷ statement. It was either Saturn or Time. If it was Time, how could it be Saturn? If he, how could it be Time? For you cannot possibly reckon both these corporeal subjects⁹⁹⁸ as co-existing in one person. What, however, was there to prevent your worshipping Time under its proper quality? Why not make a human person, or even a mythic man, an object of your adoration, but each in its proper nature not in the character of Time? What is the meaning of that conceit of your mental ingenuity, if it be not to colour the foulest matters with the feigned appearance of reasonable proofs? Neither, on the one hand, do you mean Saturn to be Time, because you say he is a human being; nor, on the other hand, whilst portraying him as Time, do you on that account mean that he was ever human. No doubt, in the accounts of remote antiquity your god Saturn is plainly described as living on earth in human guise. Anything whatever may obviously be pictured as incorporeal which never had an existence; there is simply no room for such fiction, where there is reality. Since, therefore, there is clear evidence that Saturn once existed, it is in vain that you change his character. He whom you will not deny to have once been man, is not at your disposal to be treated anyhow, nor can it be maintained that he is either divine or Time. In every page of your literature the origin of Saturn is conspicuous. We read of him in Cassius Severus and in the Corneliuses, Nepos and Tacitus, 1001 and, amongst the Greeks also, in Diodorus, and all other compilers of ancient annals. 1002 No more faithful records of him are to be traced than in Italy itself. For, after (traversing) many countries, and (enjoying) the hospitality of Athens, he settled in Italy, or, as it was called, Enotria, having met with a kind welcome from Janus, or Janes, 1003 as the Salii call him. The hill on which he settled had the name Saturnius, whilst the city which he founded 1004 still bears the name Saturnia; in short, the whole of Italy once had the same designation. Such is the testimony derived from that country which is now the mistress of the world: whatever doubt prevails about the origin of Saturn, his actions tell us plainly that he was a human being. Since, therefore, Saturn was human, he came undoubtedly from a human stock; and more, because he was a man, he, of course, came not of Cœlus and Terra. Some people, however, found it easy enough to call him, whose parents were unknown, the son of those gods from whom all may in a sense seem to be derived. For who is there that does not speak under a feeling of reverence of the heaven and the earth as his own father and mother? Or, in accordance with a custom amongst men, which induces them to say of any who are unknown or suddenly apparent, that "they

```
997 Translatio.

998 Utrumque corporale.

999 Mentitis argumentationibus.

1000 Census.

1001 See his Histories, v. 2, 4.

1002 Antiquitatem canos, "hoary antiquity."

1003 Jano sive Jane.

1004 Depalayerat, "marked out with stakes."
```

came from the sky?" Hence it happened that, because a stranger appeared suddenly everywhere, it became the custom to call him a heaven-born man, 1005—just as we also commonly call earth-born all those whose descent is unknown. I say nothing of the fact that such was the state of antiquity, when men's eyes and minds were so habitually rude, that they were excited by the appearance of every newcomer as if it were that of a god: much more would this be the case with a king, and that the prime val one. I will linger some time longer over the case of Saturn, because by fully discussing his primordial history I shall beforehand furnish a compendious answer for all other cases; and I do not wish to omit the more convincing testimony of your sacred literature, the credit of which ought to be the greater in proportion to its antiquity. Now earlier than all literature was the Sibyl; that Sibyl, I mean, who was the true prophetess of truth, from whom you borrow their title for the priests of your demons. She in senarian verse expounds the descent of Saturn and his exploits in words to this effect: "In the tenth generation of men, after the flood had overwhelmed the former race, reigned Saturn, and Titan, and Japetus, the bravest of the sons of Terra and Cœlus." Whatever credit, therefore, is attached to your older writers and literature, and much more to those who were the simplest as belonging to that age, 1006 it becomes sufficiently certain that Saturn and his family 1007 were human beings. We have in our possession, then, a brief principle which amounts to a prescriptive rule about their origin serving for all other cases, to prevent our going wrong in individual instances. The particular character¹⁰⁰⁸ of a posterity is shown by the original founders of the race—mortal beings (come) from mortals, earthly ones from earthly; step after step comes in due relation 1009 — marriage, conception, birth—country, settlements, kingdoms, all give the clearest proofs. 1010 They, therefore who cannot deny the birth of men, must also admit their death; they who allow their mortality must not suppose them to be gods.



Chapter XIII.¹⁰¹¹—The Gods Human at First. Who Had the Authority to Make Them Divine? Jupiter Not Only Human, But Immoral.

Manifest cases, indeed, like these have a force peculiarly their own. Men like Varro and his fellow-dreamers admit into the ranks of the divinity those whom they cannot assert to have been in their primitive condition anything but men; (and this they do) by affirming that they became

```
1005 Cœlitem.
```

Magis proximis quoniam illius ætatis.

¹⁰⁰⁷ Prosapia.

Qualitas. [N.B. Our author's use of *Præscriptio*.]

¹⁰⁰⁹ Comparantur.

Monumenta liquent.

Comp. *The Apology*, c. xi. [p. 27. *Supra*.]

gods after their death. Here, then, I take my stand. If your gods were elected to this dignity and deity, 1013 just as you recruit the ranks of your senate, you cannot help conceding, in your wisdom, that there must be some one supreme sovereign who has the power of selecting, and is a kind of Cæsar; and nobody is able to confer¹⁰¹⁴ on others a thing over which he has not absolute control. Besides, if they were able to make gods of themselves after their death, pray tell me why they chose to be in an inferior condition at first? Or, again, if there is no one who made them gods, how can they be said to have been made such, if they could only have been made by some one else? There is therefore no ground afforded you for denying that there is a certain wholesale distributor of divinity. Let us accordingly examine the reasons for despatching mortal beings to heaven. I suppose you will produce a pair of them. Whoever, then, is the awarder (of the divine honours), exercises his function, either that he may have some supports, or defences, or it may be even ornaments to his own dignity; or from the pressing claims of the meritorious, that he may reward all the deserving. No other cause is it permitted us to conjecture. Now there is no one who, when bestowing a gift on another, does not act with a view to his own interest or the other's. This conduct, however, cannot be worthy of the Divine Being, inasmuch as His power is so great that He can make gods outright; whilst His bringing man into such request, on the pretence that he requires the aid and support of certain, even dead persons, is a strange conceit, since He was able from the very first to create for Himself immortal beings. He who has compared human things with divine will require no further arguments on these points. And yet the latter opinion ought to be discussed, that God conferred divine honours in consideration of meritorious claims. Well, then, if the award was made on such grounds, if heaven was opened to men of the primitive age because of their deserts, we must reflect that after that time no one was worthy of such honour; except it be, that there is now no longer such a place for any one to attain to. Let us grant that anciently men may have deserved heaven by reason of their great merits. Then let us consider whether there really was such merit. Let the man who alleges that it did exist declare his own view of merit. Since the actions of men done in the very infancy of time¹⁰¹⁶ are a valid claim for their deification, you consistently admitted to the honour the brother and sister who were stained with the sin of incest—Ops and Saturn. Your Jupiter too, stolen in his infancy, was unworthy of both the home and the nutriment accorded to human beings; and, as he deserved for so bad a child, he had to live in Crete. 1017 Afterwards, when full-grown, he dethrones his own father, who, whatever his parental character may have been, was

```
1012 Allecti
```

This is not so terse as Tertullian's "nomen et numen."

¹⁰¹⁴ Præstare.

Mancipem.

In cunabulis temporalitatis.

The ill-fame of the Cretans is noted by St. Paul, Tit. i. 12.

most prosperous in his reign, king as he was of the golden age. Under him, a stranger to toil and want, peace maintained its joyous and gentle sway; under him—



"Nulli subigebant arva coloni;" 1018

"No swains would bring the fields beneath their sway;" 1019

and without the importunity of any one the earth would bear all crops spontaneously. 1020 But he hated a father who had been guilty of incest, and had once mutilated his 1021 grandfather. And yet, behold, he himself marries his own sister; so that I should suppose the old adage was made for him: $To\~0$ $\pi\alpha\tauρ\^0$ ς $τ\`0$ $\pi\alpha\iotaδἷ0ν$ —"Father's own child." There was "not a pin to choose" between the father's piety and the son's. If the laws had been just even at that early time, 1022 Jupiter ought to have been "sewed up in both sacks." 1023 After this corroboration of his lust with incestuous gratification, why should he hesitate to indulge himself lavishly in the lighter excesses of adultery and debauchery? Ever since 1024 poetry sported thus with his character, in some such way as is usual when a runaway slave 1025 is posted up in public, we have been in the habit of gossiping without restraint 1026 of his tricks 1027 in our chat with passers-by; 1028 sometimes sketching him out in the form of the very money which was the fee of his debauchery—as when (he personated) a bull, or rather paid the money's worth of one, 1029 and showered (gold) into the maiden's chamber, or rather forced his way in with a bribe; 1030 sometimes (figuring him) in the very likenesses of the parts which were acted 1031 —as the eagle which ravished (the beautiful youth), 1032 and the swan which sang (the enchanting song). 1033 Well now, are not such fables as these made up of the most disgusting intrigues

```
1018
           Virgil, Georg. i. 125.
           Sewell.
1019
1020
          Ipsa.
          Jupiter's, of course.
1021
          The law which prescribed the penalty of the paracide, that he be sewed up in a sack with an ape, a serpent, and a cock,
1022
   and be thrown into the sea.
          In duos culleos dividi.
1023
1024
          De quo.
           De fugitivo.
1025
1026
           Abusui nundinare.
           The "operam ejus"=ingenia et artificia (Oehler).
1027
1028
           Percontationi alienæ.
           In the case of Europa.
1029
1030
          In the case of Danäe.
          Similitudines actuum ipsas.
1031
          In the case of Ganymede.
1032
          In the case of Leda.
1033
```

and the worst of scandals? or would not the morals and tempers of men be likely to become wanton from such examples? In what manner demons, the offspring of evil angels who have been long engaged in their mission, have laboured to turn men¹⁰³⁴ aside from the faith to unbelief and to such fables, we must not in this place speak of to any extent. As indeed the general body¹⁰³⁵ (of your gods), which took their cue¹⁰³⁶ from their kings, and princes, and instructors,¹⁰³⁷ was not of the self-same nature, it was in some other way¹⁰³⁸ that similarity of character was exacted by their authority. But how much the worst of them was he who (ought to have been, but) was not, the best of them? By a title peculiar to him, you are indeed in the habit of calling Jupiter "the Best,"¹⁰³⁹ whilst in Virgil he is "Æquus Jupiter."¹⁰⁴⁰ All therefore were *like* him—incestuous towards their own kith and kin, unchaste to strangers, impious, unjust! Now he whom mythic story left untainted with no conspicuous infamy, was not worthy to be made a god.

Chapter XIV.—Gods, Those Which Were Confessedly Elevated to the Divine Condition, What Pre-Eminent Right Had They to Such Honour? Hercules an Inferior Character.

But since they will have it that those who have been admitted from the human state to the honours of deification should be kept separate from others, and that the distinction which Dionysius the Stoic drew should be made between the native and the factitious¹⁰⁴¹ gods, I will add a few words concerning this last class also. I will take Hercules himself for raising the gist of a reply¹⁰⁴² (to the question) whether he deserved heaven and divine honours? For, as men choose to have it, these honours are awarded to him for his merits. If it was for his valour in destroying wild beasts with intrepidity, what was there in that so very memorable? Do not criminals condemned to the games, though they are even consigned to the contest of the vile arena, despatch several of these animals at one time, and that with more earnest zeal? If it was for his world-wide travels, how often has the same thing been accomplished by the rich at their pleasant leisure, or by philosophers in their

```
1034
          Quos.
          Plebs.
1035
1036
          Morata.
          Proseminatoribus.
1037
1038
          Alibi.
          Optimum.
1039
1040
          There would seem to be a jest here; "æquus" is not only just but equal, i.e., "on a par with" others—in evil, of course, as
   well as good.
          Inter nativos et factos. See above, c. ii., p. 131.
1041
          Summa responsionis.
1042
```



slave-like poverty?¹⁰⁴³ Is it forgotten that the cynic Asclepiades on a single sorry cow,¹⁰⁴⁴ riding on her back, and sometimes nourished at her udder, surveyed 1045 the whole world with a personal inspection? Even if Hercules visited the infernal regions, who does not know that the way to Hades is open to all? If you have deified him on account of his much carnage and many battles, a much greater number of victories was gained by the illustrious Pompey, the conqueror of the pirates who had not spared Ostia itself in their ravages; and (as to carnage), how many thousands, let me ask, were cooped up in one corner of the citadel¹⁰⁴⁶ of Carthage, and slain by Scipio? Wherefore Scipio has a better claim to be considered a fit candidate for deification 1047 than Hercules. You must be still more careful to add to the claims of (our) Hercules his debaucheries with concubines and wives, and the swathes 1048 of Omphale, and his base desertion of the Argonauts because he had lost his beautiful boy. 1049 To this mark of baseness add for his glorification likewise his attacks of madness, adore the arrows which slew his sons and wife. This was the man who, after deeming himself worthy of a funeral pile in the anguish of his remorse for his parricides, 1050 deserved rather to die the unhonoured death which awaited him, arrayed in the poisoned robe which his wife sent him on account of his lascivious attachment (to another). You, however, raised him from the pyre to the sky, with the same facility with which (you have distinguished in like manner) another hero¹⁰⁵¹ also, who was destroyed by the violence of a fire from the gods. He having devised some few experiments, was said to have restored the dead to life by his cures. He was the son of Apollo, half human, although the grandson of Jupiter, and great-grandson of Saturn (or rather of spurious origin, because his parentage was uncertain, as Socrates of Argon has related; he was exposed also, and found in a worse tutelage than even Jove's, suckled even at the dugs of a dog); nobody can deny that he deserved the end which befell him when he perished by a stroke of lightning. In this transaction, however, your most excellent Jupiter is once more found in the wrong—impious to his grandson, envious of his artistic skill. Pindar, indeed, has not concealed his true desert; according to him, he was punished for his avarice and love of gain, influenced by which he would bring the living to their death, rather than the dead to life, by the perverted use of his medical art which he

- 1043 Famulatoria mendicitas.
- Vaccula.
- Subegisse oculis, "reduced to his own eyesight."
- 1046 Byrsæ.
- Magis obtinendus divinitati deputatur.
- 1048 Fascias.
- 1049 Hylas.
- Rather murders of children and other kindred.
- 1051 Æsculapius.

put up for sale.¹⁰⁵² It is said that his mother was killed by the same stroke, and it was only right that she, who had bestowed so dangerous a beast on the world,¹⁰⁵³ should escape to heaven by the same ladder. And yet the Athenians will not be at a loss how to sacrifice to gods of such a fashion, for they pay divine honours to Æsculapius and his mother amongst their dead (worthies). As if, too, they had not ready to hand¹⁰⁵⁴ their own Theseus to worship, so highly deserving a god's distinction! Well, why not? Did he not on a foreign shore abandon the preserver of his life,¹⁰⁵⁵ with the same indifference, nay heartlessness,¹⁰⁵⁶ with which he became the cause of his father's death?

Chapter XV.—The Constellations and the Genii Very Indifferent Gods. The Roman Monopoly of Gods Unsatisfactory. Other Nations Require Deities Quite as Much.

It would be tedious to take a survey of all those, too, whom you have buried amongst the constellations, and audaciously minister to as gods.¹⁰⁵⁷ I suppose your Castors, and Perseus, and Erigona,¹⁰⁵⁸ have just the same claims for the honours of the sky as Jupiter's own big boy¹⁰⁵⁹ had. But why should we wonder? You have transferred to heaven even dogs, and scorpions, and crabs. I postpone all remarks¹⁰⁶⁰ concerning those whom you worship in your oracles. That this worship exists, is attested by him who pronounces the oracle.¹⁰⁶¹ Why; you will have your gods to be

- 1054 Quasi non et ipsi.
- 1055 Ariadne.
- 1056 Amentia.
- Deis ministratis.
- The constellation Virgo.
- Jovis exoletus, Ganymede, or Aquarius.
- He makes a similar postponement above, in c. vii., to *The Apology*, cc. xxii. xxiii.
- Divini.

Tertullian does not correctly quote Pindar (*Pyth*. iii. 54–59), who notices the skilful hero's love of reward, but certainly ascribes to him the merit of curing rather than killing: Αλλὰ κέρδει καὶ σοφία δέδεται ἔτραπεν καὶ κἀκεῖνον ἁγάνορι μισθῷ χρυσὸς ἐν χερσὶν φανεὶς ἄνδρ ἐκ θανάτου κομίσαι ἢδη ἀλωκότα χερσὶ δ' ἄρα Κρονίων ῥίψαις δἰ ἄμφοῖν ἀμπνοὰν στέρνων καθέλεν ἀκέως, αἴθων δὲ κεραυνὸς ἐνέσκιμψεν μόρον—"Even wisdom has been bound by love of gain, and gold shining in the hand by a magnificent reward induced even him to restore from death a man already seized by it; and then the son of Saturn, hurling with his hands a bolt through both, speedily took away the breath of their breasts, and the flashing bolt inflicted death" (Dawson Turner).

Tertullian does not follow the legend which is usually received. He wishes to see no good in the object of his hatred, and so takes the worst view, and certainly *improves* upon it. The "bestia" is out of reason. [He doubtless followed some copy now lost.]

spectators even of sadness,¹⁰⁶² as is Viduus, who makes a *widow* of the soul, by parting it from the body, and whom you have condemned, by not permitting him to be enclosed within your city-walls; there is Cæculus also, to deprive the eyes of their perception; and Orbana, to bereave seed of its vital power; moreover, there is the goddess of death herself. To pass hastily by all others,¹⁰⁶³ you account as gods the sites of places or of the city; such are Father Janus (there being, moreover, the archer-goddess¹⁰⁶⁴ Jana¹⁰⁶⁵), and Septimontius of the seven hills.



Men sacrifice¹⁰⁶⁶ to the same *Genii*, whilst they have altars or temples in the same places; but to others besides, when they dwell in a strange place, or live in rented houses.¹⁰⁶⁷ I say nothing about Ascensus, who gets his name for his *climbing* propensity, and Clivicola, from her sloping (haunts); I pass silently by the deities called Forculus from doors, and Cardea from hinges, and Limentinus the god of thresholds, and whatever others are worshipped by your neighbours as tutelar deities of their street doors.¹⁰⁶⁸ There is nothing strange in this, since men have their respective gods in their brothels, their kitchens, and even in their prison. Heaven, therefore, is crowded with innumerable gods of its own, both these and others belonging to the Romans, which have distributed amongst them the functions of one's whole life, in such a way that there is no want of the other¹⁰⁶⁹ gods. Although, it is true, ¹⁰⁷⁰ the gods which we have enumerated are reckoned as Roman peculiarly, and as not easily recognised abroad; yet how do all those functions and circumstances, over which men have willed their gods to preside, come about, ¹⁰⁷¹ in every part of the human race, and in every nation, where their guarantees ¹⁰⁷² are not only without an official recognition, but even any recognition at all?

```
1062 Et tristitiæ arbitros.
```

¹⁰⁶³ Transvolem.

Diva arquis.

Perhaps another form of Diana.

¹⁰⁶⁶ Faciunt = $\dot{\rho}$ ίζουσι.

This seems to be the meaning of an almost unintelligible sentence, which we subjoin: "Geniis eisdem illi faciunt qui in isdem locis aras vel ædes habent; præterea aliis qui in alieno loco aut mercedibus habitant." Oehler, who makes this text, supposes that in each clause the name of some god has dropped out.

Numinum janitorum.

¹⁰⁶⁹ Ceteris.

¹⁰⁷⁰ Immo cum.

¹⁰⁷¹ Proveniunt.

¹⁰⁷² Prædes.

Chapter XVI.—Inventors of Useful Arts Unworthy of Deification. They Would Be the First to Acknowledge a Creator. The Arts Changeable from Time to Time, and Some Become Obsolete.

Well, but¹⁰⁷³ certain men have discovered fruits and sundry necessaries of life, (and hence are worthy of deification).¹⁰⁷⁴ Now let me ask, when you call these persons "discoverers," do you not confess that what they discovered was already in existence? Why then do you not prefer to honour the Author, from whom the gifts really come, instead of converting the Author into *mere* discoverers? Previously he who made the discover, the inventor himself no doubt expressed his gratitude to the Author; no doubt, too, he felt that He was God, to whom really belonged the religious service, 1075 as the Creator (of the gift), by whom also both he who discovered and that which was discovered were alike created. The green fig of Africa nobody at Rome had heard of when Cato introduced it to the Senate, in order that he might show how near was that province of the enemy¹⁰⁷⁶ whose subjugation he was constantly urging. The cherry was first made common in Italy by Cn. Pompey, who imported it from Pontus. I might possibly have thought the earliest introducers of apples amongst the Romans deserving of the public honour of deification. This, however, would be as foolish a ground for making gods as even the invention of the useful arts. And yet if the skilful men¹⁰⁷⁸ of our own time be compared with these, how much more suitable would deification be to the later generation than to the former! For, tell me, have not all the extant inventions superseded antiquity, 1079 whilst daily experience goes on adding to the new stock? Those, therefore, whom you regard as divine because of their arts, you are really injuring by your very arts, and challenging (their divinity) by means of rival attainments, which cannot be surpassed. 1080

Chapter XVII.¹⁰⁸¹—Conclusion, the Romans Owe Not Their Imperial Power to Their Gods. The Great God Alone Dispenses Kingdoms, He is the God of the Christians.

In conclusion, without denying all those whom antiquity willed *and* posterity has believed to be gods, to be the guardians of your religion, there yet remains for our consideration that very large

```
1073
          Sedenim.
          We insert this clause at Oehler's suggestion.
1074
          Ministerium.
1075
1076
          The incident, which was closely connected with the third Punic war, is described pleasantly by Pliny, Hist. Nat. xv. 20.
          Præconium.
1077
1078
          Artifices.
          "Antiquitas" is here opposed to "novitas," and therefore means "the arts of old times."
1079
          In æmulis. "In," in our author, often marks the instrument.
1080
          Compare The Apology, xxv. xxvi., pp. 39, 40.
1081
```

assumption of the Roman superstitions which we have to meet in opposition to you, O heathen, viz. that the Romans have become the lords and masters of the whole world, because by their religious offices they have merited this dominion to such an extent that they are within a very little of excelling even their own gods in power. One cannot wonder that Sterculus, and Mutunus, and Larentina, have severally 1082 advanced this empire to its height! The Roman people has been by its gods alone ordained to such dominion. For I could not imagine that any foreign *gods* would have preferred doing more for a strange nation than for their own people, and so by such conduct become the deserters and neglecters, nay, the betrayers of the native land wherein they were born and bred, and ennobled and buried. Thus not even Jupiter could suffer his own Crete to be subdued by the Roman fasces, forgetting that cave of Ida, and the brazen cymbals of the Corybantes, and the most pleasant odour of *the goat* which nursed him on that *dear* spot. Would he not have made that tomb of his superior to the whole Capitol, so that that land should most widely rule which covered the ashes of Jupiter? Would Juno, *too*, be willing that the Punic city, for the love of which she even neglected Samos, should be destroyed, and that, too, by the fires of the sons of Æneas? Although I am well aware that



"Hic illius arma,

Hic currus fuit, hoc regnum des gentibus esse, Si qua fata sinant, jam tunc tenditque fovetque."¹⁰⁸³

"Here were her arms, her chariot here,
Here goddess-like, to fix one day
The seat of universal sway,
Might fate be wrung to yield assent,
E'en then her schemes, her cares were bent." 1084

Still the unhappy (queen of gods) had no power against the fates! And yet the Romans did not accord as much honour to the fates, although they gave them Carthage, as they did to Larentina. But surely those gods of yours have not the power of conferring empire. For when Jupiter reigned in Crete, and Saturn in Italy, and Isis in Egypt, it was even as men that they reigned, to whom also were assigned many to assist them.¹⁰⁸⁵ Thus he who serves also makes masters, and the bond-slave¹⁰⁸⁶ of Admetus¹⁰⁸⁷ aggrandizes with empire the citizens of Rome, although he destroyed his own liberal

The verb is in the *singular* number.

¹⁰⁸³ *Æneid*, i. 16–20.

¹⁰⁸⁴ Conington.

Operati plerique.

Dediticius.

Apollo; comp. *The Apology*, c. xiv., p. 30.

votary Crœsus by deceiving him with ambiguous oracles. 1088 Being a god, why was he afraid boldly to foretell to him the truth that he must lose his kingdom. Surely those who were aggrandized with the power of wielding empire might always have been able to keep an eye, as it were, 1089 on their own cities. If they were strong enough to confer empire on the Romans, why did not Minerva defend Athens from Xerxes? Or why did not Apollo rescue Delphi out of the hand of Pyrrhus? They who lost their own cities preserve the city of Rome, since (forsooth) the religiousness 1090 of Rome has merited the protection! But is it not rather the fact that this excessive devotion¹⁰⁹¹ has been devised since the empire has attained its glory by the increase of its power? No doubt sacred rites were introduced by Numa, but then your proceedings were not marred by a religion of idols and temples. Piety was simple, 1092 and worship humble; altars were artlessly reared, 1093 and the vessels (thereof) plain, and the incense from them scant, and the god himself nowhere. Men therefore were not religious before they achieved greatness, (nor great) because they were religious. But how can the Romans possibly seem to have acquired their empire by an excessive religiousness and very profound respect for the gods, when that empire was rather increased after the gods had been slighted?¹⁰⁹⁴ Now, if I am not mistaken, every kingdom or empire is acquired and enlarged by wars, whilst they and their gods also are injured by conquerors. For the same ruin affects both city-walls and temples; similar is the carnage both of civilians and of priests; identical the plunder of profane things and of sacred. To the Romans belong as many sacrileges as trophies; and then as many triumphs over gods as over nations. Still remaining are their captive idols amongst them; and certainly, if they can only see their conquerors, they do not give them their love. Since, however, they have no perception, they are injured with impunity; and since they are injured with impunity, they are worshipped to no purpose. The nation, therefore, which has grown to its powerful height by victory after victory, cannot seem to have developed owing to the merits of its religion—whether they have injured the religion by augmenting their power, or augmented their power by injuring the religion. All nations have possessed empire, each in its proper time, as the Assyrians, the Medes, the Persians, the Egyptians; empire is even now also in the possession of some, and yet they that have lost their power used not to behave 1095 without attention to religious services and the worship of the gods,

```
1088
           See Herodot. i. 50.
           Veluti tueri.
1089
          Religiositas.
1090
1091
          Superstitio.
          Frugi.
1092
1093
          Temeraria.
          Læsis.
1094
           Morabantur. We have taken this word as if from "mores" (character). Tertullian often uses the participle "moratus" in
1095
   this sense.
```

even after these had become unpropitious to them,¹⁰⁹⁶ until at last almost universal dominion has accrued to the Romans. It is the fortune of the times that has thus constantly shaken kingdoms with revolution.¹⁰⁹⁷ Inquire who has ordained these changes in the times. It is the same (great Being) who dispenses kingdoms,¹⁰⁹⁸ and has now put the supremacy of them into the hands of the Romans, very much as if ¹⁰⁹⁹ the tribute of many nations were after its exaction amassed in one (vast) coffer. What He has determined concerning it, they know who are the nearest to Him.¹¹⁰⁰





Appendix.

A Fragment Concerning the Execrable Gods of the Heathen.

So great blindness has fallen on the Roman race, that they call their enemy Lord, and preach the filcher of blessings as being their very giver, and to him they give thanks. They call those (deities), then, by human names, not by their own, for their own names they know not. That they are dæmons¹¹⁰¹ they understand: but they read histories of the old kings, and then, though they see that their character¹¹⁰² was mortal, they honour them with a deific name.

As for him whom they call Jupiter, and think to be the highest god, when he was born the years (that had elapsed) from the foundation of the world¹¹⁰³ to him¹¹⁰⁴ were some three thousand. He is

- 1096 Et depropitiorum.
- 1097 Volutavit.
- Compare *The Apology*, c. xxvi.
- We have treated this "tanquam" and its clause as something more than a mere simile. It is, in fact, an integral element of the supremacy which the entire sentence describes as conferred on the Romans by the Almighty.
- That is, *the Christians*, who are well aware of God's purposes as declared in prophecy. St. Paul tells the Thessalonians what the order of the great events subsequent to the Roman power was to be: the destruction of that power was to be followed by the development and reign of Antichrist; and then the end of the world would come.
- Dæmons. Gr. δαίμων, which some hold to = δαήμων, "knowing," "skilful," in which case it would come to be used of any superhuman intelligence; others, again, derive from $\delta\alpha$ iω, "to divide, distribute," in which case it would mean a distributor of destinies; which latter derivation and meaning Liddell and Scott incline to.
- Actum: or "career."
- 1103 Mundi.
- i.e., till his time.

born in Greece, from Saturnus and Ops; and, for fear he should be killed by his father (or else, if it is lawful to say so, should be begotten¹¹⁰⁵ anew), is by the advice of his mother carried down into Crete, and reared in a cave of Ida; is concealed from his father's search) by (the aid of) Cretans—born men!1106—rattling their arms; sucks a she-goat's dugs; flays her; clothes himself in her hide; and (thus) uses his own nurse's hide, after killing her, to be sure, with his own hand! but he sewed thereon three golden tassels worth the price of an hundred oxen each, as their author Homer¹¹⁰⁷ relates, if it is fair to believe it. This Jupiter, in adult age, waged war several years with his father; overcame him; made a parricidal raid on his home; violated his virgin sisters;1108 selected one of them in marriage; drave¹¹⁰⁹ his father by dint of arms. The remaining scenes, moreover, of that act have been recorded. Of other folks' wives, or else of violated virgins, he begat him sons; defiled freeborn boys; oppressed peoples lawlessly with despotic and kingly sway. The father, whom they erringly suppose to have been the *original* god, was ignorant that this (son of his) was lying concealed in Crete; the son, again, whom they believe the *mightier* god, knows not that the father whom himself had banished is lurking in Italy. If he was in heaven, when would he not see what was doing in Italy? For the Italian land is "not in a corner." And yet, had he been a god, nothing ought to have escaped him. But that he whom the Italians call Saturnus did lurk there, is clearly evidenced on the face of it, from the fact that from his lurking¹¹¹¹ the Hesperian¹¹¹² tongue is to this day called Latin, 1113 as likewise their author Virgil relates. 1114 (Jupiter,) then, is said to have been born on earth, while (Saturnus his father) fears lest he be driven by him from his kingdom, and seeks to kill him as being his own rival, and knows not that he has been stealthily carried off, and is in hiding; and afterwards the son-god pursues his father, immortal seeks to slay immortal (is it credible?¹¹¹⁵), and

```
See Hom. II. ii. 446–9; but Homer says there were 100 such tassels.
```

Pareretur. As the word seems to be used here with reference to his father, this, although not by any means a usual meaning, would seem to be the sense. [As in the equivalent Greek.]

A Cretibus, hominibus natis. The force seems to be in the absurdity of supposing that, 1st, there should be human beings (hominibus) born, (as Jupiter is said to have been "born,") already existing at the time of the "birth" of "the highest god;" 2ndly, that these should have had the power to do him so essential service as to conceal him from the search of his own father, likewise a mighty deity, by the simple expedient of rattling their arms.

Oehler's "virginis" must mean "virgines."

So Scott: "He drave my cows last Fastern's night."—Lay of Last Minstrel.

See Acts xxvi. 26.

¹¹¹¹ Latitatio.

i.e., Western: here=Italian, as being west of Greece.

¹¹¹³ Latina.

¹¹¹⁴ See Virg. Æn. viii. 319–323: see also Ov. *Fast.* i. 234–238.

Oehler does not mark this as a question. If we follow him, we may render, "this can find belief." Above, it seemed necessary to introduce the parenthetical words to make some sense. The Latin is throughout very clumsy and incoherent.



is disappointed by an interval of sea, and is ignorant of (his quarry's) flight; and while all this is going on between two gods on earth, heaven is deserted. No one dispensed the rains, no one thundered, no one governed all this mass of world.¹¹¹⁶ For they cannot even say that their action and wars took place in heaven; for all this was going on on Mount Olympus in Greece. Well, but heaven is not called Olympus, for heaven is heaven.

These, then, are the actions of theirs, which we will treat of first—nativity, lurking, ignorance, parricide, adulteries, obscenities—things committed not by a god, but by most impure and truculent human beings; beings who, had they been living in these days, would have lain under the impeachment of all laws—laws which are far more just and strict than their actions. "He drave his father by dint of arms." The Falcidian and Sempronian law would bind the parricide in a sack with beasts. "He violated his sisters." The Papinian law would punish the outrage with all penalties, limb by limb. "He invaded others' wedlock." The Julian law would visit its adulterous violator capitally. "He defiled freeborn boys." The Cornelian law would condemn the crime of transgressing the sexual bond with novel severities, sacrilegiously guilty as it is of a novel union.¹¹¹⁷ This being is shown to have had no divinity either, for he was a human being; his father's flight escaped him. To this human being, of such a character, to so wicked a king, so obscene and so cruel, God's honour has been assigned by men. Now, to be sure, if on earth he were born and grew up through the advancing stages of life's periods, and in it committed all these evils, and yet is no more in it, what is thought1118 (of him) but that he is dead? Or else does foolish error think wings were born him in his old age, whence to fly heavenward? Why, even this may possibly find credit among men bereft of sense, 1119 if indeed they believe, (as they do,) that he turned into a swan, to beget the Castors;¹¹²⁰ an eagle, to contaminate Ganymede; a bull, to violate Europa; gold, to violate Danaë; a horse, to beget Pirithoüs; a goat, to beget Egyppa¹¹²¹ from a she-goat; a Satyr, to embrace Antiope. Beholding these adulteries, to which sinners are prone, they therefore easily believe that sanctions of misdeed and of every filthiness are borrowed from their feigned god. Do they perceive how void of amendment are the rest of his career's acts which can find credit, which are indeed true, and

Orbis.

Lex Cornelia transgressi fœderis ammissum novis exemplis novi coitus sacrilegum damnaret. After consulting Dr. Holmes, I have rendered, but not without hesitation, as above. "Fœdus" seems to have been technically used, especially in later Latin, of the *marriage compact*; but what "lex Cornelia" is meant I have sought vainly to discover, and whether "lex Cornelia transgressi fœderis" ought not to go together I am not sure. For "ammissum" (=admissum) Migne's ed. reads "amissum," a very different word. For "sacrilegus" with a genitive, see *de Res. Carn*, c. xlii. *med*.

¹¹¹⁸ Quid putatur (Oehler) putatus (Migne).

Or, "feeling"—"sensu."

The Dioscuri, Castor and Pollux.

Perhaps Ægipana (marginal reading of the MS. as given in Oehler and Migne).

which, they say, he did without self transformation? Of Semele, he begets Liber;¹¹²² of Latona, Apollo and Diana; of Maia, Mercury; of Alcmena, Hercules. But the rest of his corruptions, which they themselves confess, I am unwilling to record, lest turpitude, once buried, be again called to men's ears. But of these few (offsprings of his) I have made mention; off-springs whom in their error they believe to be themselves, too, gods—born, to wit, of an incestuous father; adulterous births, supposititious births. And the living,¹¹²³ eternal God, of sempiternal divinity, prescient of futurity, immeasurable,¹¹²⁴ they have dissipated (into nothing, by associating Him) with crimes so unspeakable.

Elucidation.

This Fragment is noted as spurious, by Oehler who attributes it to somebody only moderately acquainted with Tertullian's style and teaching.¹¹²⁵ I do not find it mentioned by Dupin, nor by Routh. This translation is by Thelwall.



VII.

An Answer to the Jews. 1126

- i.e., Bacchus.
- Oehler reads "vide etem;" but Migne's "viventem" seems better: indeed, Oehler's is probably a misprint. The punctuation of this treatise in Oehler is very faulty throughout, and has been disregarded.
- "Immensum," rendered "incomprehensible" in the "Athanasian Creed.
- See page 14, *supra*.
- [This treatise was written while our author was a Catholic. This seems to me the best supported of the theories concerning it. Let us accept Pamelius, for once and date it A.D. 198. Dr. Allix following Baronius, will have it as late as A.D. 208. Neander thinks the work, after the quotation from Isaiah in the beginning of chapter ninth, *is not our author's*, but was finished by another hand, clumsily annexing what is said on the same chapter of Isaiah in the Third Book against Marcion. It is only slightly varied. Bp. Kaye admits the very striking facts instanced by Neander, in support of this theory, but demolishes, with a word any argument